Līnatthapakāsinī and Sāratthamañjūsā: The *Purāṇaṭīkās* and the *Tīkās* on the Four Nikāyas

In Pāli bibliographical sources¹ the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s^2$ on the first four $nik\bar{a}yas$ are mentioned either:

- (a) as two more or less complete different sets:
- (1) the old set of four *purāṇaṭīkās* with a common name Līnattha-pakāsinī:

Sumangalavilāsinī-purāņatīkā, Pathamā Līnatthapakāsinī;

Papañcasūdanī-purānatīkā, Dutiyā Līnatthapakāsinī;

Sāratthapakāsinī-purānatīkā, Tatiyā Līnatthapakāsinī;

Manorathapūraņī-purāņaṭīkā, Catutthā Līnatthapakāsinī; and

(2) the later set of four *tīkās* with a common name Sāratthamañjūsā:

Sumangalavilāsinī-tīkā, Pathamā Sāratthamanjūsā;

Papañcasūdanī-tīkā, Dutiyā Sāratthamañjūsā;

Sāratthapakāsinī-tīkā, Tatiyā Sāratthamañjūsā;

Manorathapūraņī-tīkā, Catutthā Sāratthamañjūsā; or

(b) as a single set in which the first three $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ are from the old set and are called Līnatthapakāsinī (see (a-I) above) and the fourth $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ is from the later set and is called Sāratthamañjūsā (see (a-2) above), that is:

Sumangalavilāsinī-purānatīkā, Pathamā Līnatthapakāsinī;

Papañcasūdanī-purāṇaṭīkā, Dutiyā Līnatthapakāsinī;

Sāratthapakāsinī-purāṇaṭīkā, Tatiyā Līnatthapakāsinī;

Anguttaranikāya-ţīkā, Catutthā Sāratthamañjūsā.

I presented an earlier version of Part I of this article at the XIth World Sanskrit Conference, Torino, in April 2000.

¹The following bibliographic soures will be discussed: Saddhamma-s, Pagan inscription (see G.H. Luce and Tim Hway, 1976; *PLB*, pp. 102–109), Gv, Sās, Sās-dīp, Piṭ-sm, and CPD.

²For the etymology of the word $t\bar{t}k\tilde{a}$ see Mayrhofer, *EWA* s.v. See also *PLC*, pp. 192–93; *PL*, pp. 148–51; Bollée, pp. 824–35; *HPL*, pp. 100–101.

The authorship of the $pur\bar{a}nat\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ (called Līnatthapakāsinī) is usually ascribed to Dhammapāla³ and that of the later $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ (called Sāratthamañjūsā) is ascribed to Sāriputta of Polonnaruva.⁴ Although according to some catalogues⁵ of Pāli manuscripts held in various libraries in Burma and Sri Lanka, both sets of $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ exist in manuscript form, only the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ belonging to the single set (b) have been published and the remaining ones belonging to the two sets (a) seem to have been ignored.

This discussion of the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ on the four $nik\bar{a}yas$ will be presented in two parts. In Part I, I will discuss printed editions and manuscripts of the $nik\bar{a}ya-t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ — with emphasis on Burmese and Sinhala manuscripts which have not yet been explored. In addition, I will discuss the possibility of the existence of two sets of $nik\bar{a}ya-t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ instead of just one, as is usually stated in works of modern Pāli scholarship. A special emphasis will be given to a recently discovered Burmese manuscript of the old Anguttara-tīkā, Catutthā Līnatthapakāsinī (Mp-pt), which will be discussed in more detail and will provide a completely new perspective on the research concerning the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ on the four $nik\bar{a}yas$.

In Part II three parallel chapters (Ekanipāta-ṭīkā III–V) from both Aṅguttara-ṭīkās (Mp-pṭ and Mp-ṭ) will be compared and their major differences analysed in the light of the information about the $nik\bar{a}ya$ -tīkās given in Saddhamma-s. The comparison will further evidence my

proposition (based on the information in Saddhamma-s, see Part I, 1.1.) that two sets of $nik\bar{a}ya-t\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}s$ (Līnatthapakāsinī and Sāratthamañjūsā) were most probably compiled.

Part I: Bibliographical sources, manuscripts and printed editions

1. The tīkās in Pāli bibliographical sources

I.I. Saddhammasangaha

Saddhammasaṅgaha (Saddhamma-s), the oldest known Pāli bibliographical reference work, was compiled in the 14th century by Dhammakitti Mahāsāmi, who visited Ceylon and was a pupil of Dhammakitti.⁶ After his visit to Ceylon he "returned to his own country, reached the city of Yodaya [Ayodaya] and while staying in a great residence called Laṅkārāma built by the king named Paramarāja he wrote Saddhammasaṅgaha". From the colophon to Saddhamma-s it seems likely that Dhammakitti Mahāsāmi was a Thai who wrote Saddhamma-s in the ancient Siamese kingdom Ayudhyā (Ayuthaya)⁸

Although Saddhamma-s is taken here as the oldest bibliographical work, a much earlier list of various Pāli texts from an inscription dated 1223 CE has been recently discussed by U Than Tun, 1998, pp. 37–55. Although the *tīkās* on the four *nikāyas* are also listed in the inscription, it is not clear to which set — Līnatthapakāsinī or Sāratthamañjūsā or both — they belonged (see Than Tun, 1998, p. 50).

³On the date(s) and works of Dhammapāla(s) see *HPL*, pp. 167–70; Buddhadatta, 1957; *BhB*, pp. 63–68; Buddhadatta 1960, pp. 54–55; Dhammaratana Thera, 1968, pp. 40–41; Sv-pt, pp. xli–lv; Bangchang, pp. xxiv–xxxix; Upās, pp. 28 foll.; Cousins, 1972, pp. 159–65; A. Pieris, 1978, pp. 61–77; *EncBuddh*, Vol. 4, fasc. 4, pp. 501–504; A.K. Warder, 1981, pp. 198–207; P. Jackson, 1990, pp. 209–11.

⁴On Sāriputta of Polonnaruva, see Pecenko, 1997, pp. 159–79; *HPL*, pp. 172–73.

⁵I would like to mention two important catalogues: (1) *LPP* and (2) *Piṭ-sm* (1989), a very important Burmese bibliographic work which also refers to the manuscripts held in the National Library, Rangoon. Of course, these two catalogues do not list all the Pāli manuscripts held in Burma and Sri Lanka (cf. 2.2. below).

⁶Saddhamma-s 90, 3-8. According to K.R. Norman, Dhammakitti was "probably one of the *saṅgharājas* who lived towards the end of the fourteenth century" (*PL*, p. 180). Godakumbura mentions that Dhammakitti Mahāsāmi "received his ordination under the Dhammakīrti's of Gaḍalādeniya" (1980, pp. xxxi-xxxii). See also *PLC*, p. 245; H. Bechert, 1966, p. 265; W.M. Sirisena, 1978, pp. 100–102; K.L. Hazra, 1986, pp. 69–71; *HPL*, p. 3.

⁷Saddhamma-s 90,10-14: punāgato sakam desam sampatto 'Yodayam [= sampatto Ayodayam] puram, Paramarājābhidhānena mahārājena kārite, Lankārāmamahāvāse vasatā santavuttinā, Dhammakittyorusāminā ... racitam idam Saddhammasamgahan nāma sabbaso pariniṭhitam.

⁸This was first suggested by G. Coedès, 1915, p. 43. C.E. Godakumbura mentions the author of Saddhamma-s first as a "Siamese monk who wrote at Gaḍalādeniya in Ceylon during the 14th century A.D." (1980, p. xxvii, n. 1) and a few pages later as a "thera from India who also bore the name

during the rule of king Paramarāja I (Borommoracha I, 1370–88). Paramarāja I was "a contemporary of the [author's teacher] Dhammakitti who lived during the reign of [the Sinhala king] Bhuvanaikabāhu V (1372–1408)". 10 It is also known that the Buddhism practised in Ayudhyā at that time was the Theravāda of the Sinhala tradition. 11

In Saddhamma-s two sets of $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ on the four $nik\bar{a}yas$ are mentioned: Līnatthapakāsinī and Sāratthamañjūsā. Līnatthapakāsinī was written by the $por\bar{a}nas^{12}$ and was a subcommentary ($atthavannan\bar{a}$) on the $atthakath\bar{a}s$ of the entire $tipitaka.^{13}$ The second set of $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ on the first four $nik\bar{a}yas$ was called Sāratthamañjūsā and was compiled — as a part of the "new" compilation of $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ on the entire canon — during the reign of Parakkamabāhu I (1153-86) by the convocation of "elders" ($ther\bar{a}bhikkh\bar{u}$)¹⁴ presided over by Dimbulāgala Mahākassapatthera,

p. 137). See also Mhv LXXII 2 foll.; LXXVIII 1–30; W. Geiger, "Introduction" in *Mhv Trsl.*, pp. 28–29; Geiger 1956, § 31 (literature), n. 4.

who was the first *saṅgharāja* in Ceylon and the most senior monk from Udumbaragirivihāra. ¹⁵ The entire compilation was accomplished within one year. ¹⁶

While the individual $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ of the first set are not explicitly mentioned, Saddhamma-s lists the four $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ of the second set as follows:

tadanantaram suttantapiṭake Dīghanikāyaṭṭhakathāya Sumaṃgalavilāsiniyā atthavaṇṇanaṃ ārabhitvā mūlabhāsāya Māgadhikāya niruttiyā paṭhama-Sāratthamañjūsā nāma atthavaṇṇanam ṭhapesuṃ. tathā Majjhima-nikāyaṭṭhakathāya Papañcasūdanīyā ... dutiya-Sāratthamañjūsā nāma atthavaṇṇanam ṭhapesuṃ. tathā Saṃyuttanikāyaṭṭhakathāya Sāratthappakāsaniyā ... tatiya-Sāratthamañjūsā nāma atthavaṇṇanam ṭhapesuṃ. tathā Aṅguttaranikāyaṭṭhakathāya Manorathapūraṇiyā ... catuttha-Sāratthamañjūsā nāma atthavaṇṇanam ṭhapesuṃ. 17

Saddhamma-s explains that the second set of $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ (Sāratthamañjūsā) was written because the existing set (Līnatthapakāsinī) "did not serve the purpose of bhikkhus residing in different countries", 18 the reason being that many ganthipadas (explanatory works which dealt with difficult expressions and passages) that belonged to the old set were written in the Sinhala language and what was written in Māgadhī had

Dhammakitti" (p. xxxii). See also Buddhadatta, 1962, pp. 383-86.

⁹Wyatt, 1984, p. 312.

¹⁰Sirisena, pp. 101–102. According to Cœdès, 1915, p. 43, "Il est impossible de fixer la date à laquelle ce texte fut compilé, ce nom de Paramarāja ayant été porté par plusieurs souverains d'Ayuthya."

¹¹EncBuddh, Vol. 2, fasc. 3, p. 474; Wyatt, pp. 61–98; Hazra, 1982, pp. 152–53.

¹²On *porāṇas* see Adikaram, *EHBC*, pp. 16–18; F. Lottermoser, 1982, pp. 209–13.

¹³ Saddhamma-s 58.28-29: piṭakattayaṭṭhakathāya līnatthappakāsanatthaṃ atthavaṇṇanaṃ purāṇehi kataṃ. Although in this reference the ṭīkās on the first four nikāyas are not listed explicitly, it seems probable that they were called Līnatthappakāsinī. H. Saddhatissa ("Introduction" in Upās, p. 47, n. 154) explains: "The Līnatthavaṇṇanā is also called Līnatthappakāsinī. ... The Saddhammasangaha has freely used the word atthavaṇṇanā for ṭīkā and further amplified it as the Atthavaṇṇanā for the purpose of elucidating the hidden meanings (Līnatthappakāsanatthaṃ atthavaṇṇanaṃ)". Cf. the title of Sv-pṭ, ed. by Lily de Silva: Dīghanikāyaṭṭhakathāṭīkā Līnatthavaṇṇanā.

¹⁴Cf. Saddhamma-s 59,14–18: atha kho therā bhikkhū ... atthavannanam thapesum; 62,13: piṭakattayaṭīkā ca ṭīkācariyehi bhāsitā [v. 7].
The date of the assembly "is tentatively fixed at A.D. 1165" (Panditha, 1973,

¹⁵Saddhamma-s 59, 7: Mahākassapattherapamukham bhikkhusangham; on Mahākassapatthera of Udumbaragirivihāra; see also P. Pecenko, "Notes" in Mp-t E^e, Vol. I, pp. 106–107, n. 1,5; PLC, pp. 176–77, 192–94; DPPN s.v. Mahā Kassapa 2.; Buddhadatta, 1960, pp. 75–77; H. Bechert, 1966, Vol. I, p. 265.

¹⁶Saddhamma-s 60,25–27: ayam piṭakaṭṭhakathāya atthavaṇṇanā ekasamvaccharen' eva niṭṭhita.

¹⁷Saddhamma-s 59,23-35; cf. Saddhamma-s 61,21-23: piṭakattayavaṇṇanā ca līnatthassa pakāsanā, Sāratthadīpanī nāma Sāratthamañjūsā pi ca (v. 18), Paramatthappakāsani mahātherehi bhāsitā, sattānaṃ sabbabhāsānaṃ sā ahosi hitāvahā (v. 19).

¹⁸Saddhamma-s 58,30-31: taṃ sabbaṃ desantarāvāsīnaṃ bhikkhūnam atthaṃ na sādheti; translation by Law, 1941, p. 84. Cf. Saddhamma-s 61,9-10: piṭakaṭṭhakathāyāhaṃ līnatthassa pakāsanaṃ, na taṃ sabbattha bhikkhūnaṃ atthaṃ sādheti sabbaso (v. 12); also O.v. Hinüber, HPL, pp. 172-73, § 374: "... older works no longer served the purpose of the monks in the twelfth century."

been mixed and confused with (Pāli) translations ($bh\bar{a}santara$) of the Ganthipadas. The Līnatthapakāsinī set was nevertheless used as a basis for the new "complete and clear atthavannana", the mistakes ("versions, translations" — $bh\bar{a}santara$) in the old $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ were removed, but their essence was kept in its entirety. 21

See also Saddhamma-s 61, 9–20 where the state of the Līnatthapakāsinī set is described in more detail. These two passages from Saddhamma-s (14th century), especially Saddhamma-s 61,9–20, are most probably based on a very similar passage from Sp-t Be 1960 I 2,5–16 ascribed to Sāriputta of Polonnaruva, who lived about two centuries earlier — at the time of the compilation of the Sāratthamañjūsā set.

1.2. The Pagan inscription

The second important source of information about the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ on the four $nik\bar{a}yas$ is the Pagan inscription of 1442 (804 BE) inscribed in the beginning of the rule of Narapati (1442–68),²² less than three centuries after Parakkamabāhu I (1153–86). The inscription gives a list of 299 manuscripts,²³ amongst which the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ on the four $nik\bar{a}yas$ are also mentioned.

The titles of the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ given in this inscription are very similar to the titles given in Pit-sm (1989) (see 1.6 below),²⁴ which in turn are also very similar to the titles of the Chatthasangāyana editions of these $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$. The $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ on D, M and S are listed as follows:

the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ on D has three entries: $t\bar{t}g\bar{a}$ $s\bar{t}lakkhandhav\bar{a}$ $d\bar{t}ghanik\bar{a}y$ (no. 44), $t\bar{t}g\bar{a}$ $mah\bar{a}v\bar{a}$ $d\bar{t}ghanik\bar{a}y$ (no. 45) and $t\bar{t}g\bar{a}$ $p\bar{a}dheyyav\bar{a}$ $d\bar{t}ghanik\bar{a}y$ (no. 46);²⁵

the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ on M also has three entries: $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ $m\bar{u}lapann\bar{a}sa$ (no. 53), $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ $majhimapann\bar{a}sa$ (no. 54) and $t\bar{t}g\bar{a}$ $uparipann\bar{a}sa$ (no. 55); 26 and the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ on S has two entries: $t\bar{t}g\bar{a}$ $sag\bar{a}thav\bar{a}$ sanyut (no. 63) and $t\bar{t}g\bar{a}$ $thandhavagg\bar{a}di$ sanyut (no. 65). 27

¹⁹Saddhamma-s 58,31-59,2: kattha ci anekesu gaṇṭhipadesu Sīhalabhāsāya niruttiyā likhitañ ca kattha ci mūlabhāsāya Māgadhikāya bhāsantarena sammissaṃ ākulañ ca katvā likhitañ ca. Law's translation, 1941, p. 84: "Some were written in many terse expressions [gaṇṭhipada] according to the grammar of the Sinhala language, some were written in the dialect of Magadha, which is the basic language, but they have been confused and twisted by translation"; cf. O.v. Hinüber, HPL, p. 173, § 374: "Particularly the Gaṇṭhipadas written in Sinhalese are difficult to understand (Sp-ṭ [Be 1960] I 2,5-8) and [were] therefore summarized in Pāli." On gaṇṭhipadas, see Lily de Silva, "General Introduction" in Sv-pṭ, pp. xxxii-xxxviii; O.v. Hinüber, HPL, pp. 170-71, §§ 367-71.

²⁰Saddhamma-s 59,2-3: mayam bhāsantaram apanetvā paripunnam anākulam atthavannanam kareyyāmā ti.

²¹Saddhamma-s 61,19-20 = Sp-ṭ Be 1960 I 2,15-16: bhāsantaraṃ tato hitvā sāraṃ ādāya sabbaso / anākulaṃ karissāmi paripuṇṇavinicchayaṃ. The introductory passages in the existing printed editions of Sv-pṭ Ee, Ps-pṭ Be 1961, Spk-pṭ Be 1961, and in the recently discovered manuscript of Mp-pṭ (see Part I, 2.2 and Part II below), which all belong to the old Līnatthapakāsinī set, are, with the exception of minor orthographic differences, practically identical. The introduction in Mp-ṭ Ee 1996, which is the fourth (catutthā) ṭīkā of the later Sāratthamañjūsā set, is considerably different from Sv-pṭ Ee, Ps-pṭ Be 1961, Spk-pṭ Be 1961, and the text in the manuscript of Mp-pṭ, and is much closer to Sp-ṭ Be 1960 and Sv-nṭ Be 1961. See P. Pecenko, "Table of Parallel Passages" in Mp-ṭ I; also H. Saddhatissa, "Introduction" in Upās, p. 47, n. 154. For a detailed textual comparison of three parallel chapters from Mp-pṭ and Mp-ṭ, see Part II below.

²²Luce and Tin Htway, 1976, pp. 203–17; *PLB*, p. 41. Cf. also U Than Tun, 1998, pp. 37–55.

²³Catalogue in Luce and Tin Htway, 1976, pp. 218–48. The *tīkās* in this article are quoted according to their numbers in the Catalogue with the same transliteration of their titles. Cf. *PLB*, pp. 102–109; Niharranjan Ray, 1946, pp. 193–95.

²⁴Also *Piṭakat-tō samuinḥ* or *Piṭakat suṃḥ puṃ cā tamḥ*. I consulted the edition of 1989.

²⁵Cf. Piṭ-sm (1989) nos. 187: Sut-sīlakkhan-ṭīkā honḥ, 189: Sut-mahāvā-ṭīkā, 190: Sut-pātheyya-ṭīkā; Sv-pṭ B^e 1961 I: Sīlakkhandhavagga-ṭīkā, II: Mahā-vagga-ṭīkā, III: Pāthikavagga-ṭīkā.

²⁶Cf. Piţ-sm (1989) 191: Mūlapaṇṇāsa-ṭīkā, 192: Majjhimapaṇṇāsa-ṭīkā, 193: Uparipaṇṇāsa-ṭīkā; Ps-pṭ Be 1961 I-II: Mūlapaṇṇāsa-ṭīkā, III: Majjhima-panṇāsa-ṭīkā and Uparipaṇṇāsa-ṭīkā.

²⁷Cf. Piţ-sm (1989) nos. 194: Sagāthāvaggasaṃyut-ṭīkā, 195: Nidānavaggasaṃyut-ṭīkā, 196: Khandhavaggasaṃyut-ṭīkā, 197: Saļāyatanavaggasaṃyut-ṭīkā, 198: Mahāvaggasaṃyut-ṭīkā; Spk-pṭ Be 1961 I: Sagāthavaggassa

In the section on A (List 934b45) two different $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ are listed: $t\bar{t}g\bar{a}$ anguttuiw $kr\bar{t}$ [mah \bar{a}] (no. 75),²⁸ which is translated by G. H. Luce and Tin Htway: "Greater Anguttara subcommentary" and further identified as Sāratthamañjūsā, and $t\bar{t}g\bar{a}$ anguttuiw nay [culla] (no. 76),²⁹ which is translated: "Lesser Anguttara subcommentary".

The names of the two sets of $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ are not mentioned in the inscription.

1.3. Gandhavamsa

Gandhavaṃsa (Gv), a much later work written by a Burmese araññavāsin Nandapaññā³⁰ probably in the 17th century,³¹ lists both

atthavannanābhūtā Saṃyutta-ṭīkā, II: Nidāna-Khandha-Saļāyatana-Mahā-vaggānaṃ atthavannanābhūtā Saṃyutta-ṭīkā. If the sequence of vaggas of Spk-pṭ given in the inscription was the same as in Piṭ-sm (1989) and in the Chaṭṭhasaṅgāyana edition the second entry should read ṭīgā nidānavaggādi saṅyut and not ṭīgā khandhavaggādi saṅyut. On variant recensions of Spk and Spk-pṭ which have a different order of the five vaggas, see Tseng, 2001, pp. xxvi-xxviii.

²⁸The title written on the first folio of the MS of Mp-t held in the British Library (Or 2089) is very similar: tīkā ekkanipāt aṅgutra krī. Cf. Piṭ-sm (1989) nos. 202–12: Ekaṅguttara-ṭīkā-sac, Dukaṅguttara-ṭīkā-sac, ... Das'-aṅguttara-ṭīkā-sac, Ekādasaṅguttara-ṭīkā-sac; Mp-t Be 1961 I–III: Sārattha-maṅjūsā nāma Aṅguttara-ṭīkā. In Burmese sac means "new, revised", ṭīkā-sac therefore means the "new ṭīkā", i.e. Mp-t, Catutthā Sāratthamaṅjūsā. In Piṭ-sm (1989) no. 202 it is also called Mahāṭīkā. All the Burmese words and sentences from Piṭ-sm (1989) which I quote here were translated into English by Elisabeth Lawrence, Research School of Pacific and Asian Studies, Australian National University.

29Cf. Piţ-sm (1989) no. 199: Ekanguttara-ţīkā-honḥ, 200: Dukanguttara-ţīkā-honḥ, 201: Tikanguttara-ţīkā-honḥ in Burmese means "old, ancient", tīkā-honḥ therefore means the "old tīkā", i.e. Mp-pṭ, Catutthā Līnattha-pakāsinī.

³⁰Gv 80,5-6: iti pāmojjatthāyāraññavāsinā Nandapaññācariyena kato Cullagandhavaṃso; Gv 79,26: Haṃsāraṭṭhajāto Nandapañño ti visuto. Haṃsāraṭṭha is the Pāli name for the kingdom of Pegu, the capital of which was Hamsavatī. See *PLB*, p. 36.

³¹PLB, p. x. According to Oskar von Hinüber this is "a later systematic survey of unknown date" (HPL,, p. 3). See also Winternitz, HIL, II, 176, n. 4; A.P.

Līnatthapakāsinī and Sāratthamañjūsā. The first one is mentioned as:

Dīghanikāyāṭṭhakathādīnaṃ catunnaṃ aṭṭhakathānaṃ Līnatthapakāsinī nāma tīkā³²

and was, according to Gv, written independently by Dhammapāl'- \bar{a} cariya.³³

Sāratthamañjūsā is mentioned only as Aṅguttaraṭṭhakathāya Sāratthamañjūsā nāma ṭīkā, 34 a work written by Sāriputta. 35 Further on, this work of Sāriputta, which was written at the request of Parakkamabāhu, king of Laṅkā, is also referred to as Aṅguttar'-aṭṭhakathāya navā tīkā gandho. 36

According to Gv, the Līnatthapakāsinī set consisted of the $t\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}s$ on all the four $nik\bar{a}yas$ and Sāratthamañjūsā was the name of the $t\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}$ on A only. To distinguish it from the older $t\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}$ on A ($Catutth\bar{a}$ $L\bar{\imath}natthapak\bar{a}sin\bar{\imath}$), Sāratthamañjūsā was also classified as a $nav\bar{a}$ $t\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}$. This confirms the information given in the Pagan inscription where these two $t\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}s$ are mentioned as the "lesser" (nay) and the "greater" (nay) nathama na

1.4. Sāsanavamsa

Sāsanavamsa (Sās), a work "written in Burma in 1861 by Paññā-

Buddhadatta, 1962, Vol. II, pp. 410–11; *PL*, pp. 180–81; Hazra, 1986, pp. 89–91.

³²Gv 60,11-12.

³³Gv 69,30–34: Dīghanikāyaṭṭhakathādīnaṃ catunnaṃ aṭṭhakathānaṃ ṭīkā-gandho ... attano matiyā Dhammapālācariyena katā.

³⁴Gv 61,32-33.

³⁵Gv 61,30. Cf. H. Saddhatissa, "Introduction" in Upās, p. 47, n. 154.

³⁶Gv 7I,10-14: Sāratthadīpanī nāma ...Anguttaraṭṭhakathāya navā ṭīkā gandho ti ime cattāro gandhā Parakkamabāhunāmena Lankādīpissarena raññā āyācitena Sāriputtācariyena katā. Cf. Piṭ-sm (1989) no. 202 where the later ṭīkā on Mp (Mp-t) is mentioned as "new greater ṭīkā" (ṭīkā sac krī).

³⁷See notes 27–28 above.

sāmi, tutor of King Min-dōn who held the fifth council a few years later", ³⁸ does not give the names of the two sets of *tīkās* (Līnatthapakāsinī and Sāratthamañjūsā); it simply states that the Dīghanikāy'-aṭṭhakathāya ṭīkā, Majjhimanikāyaṭṭhakathāya ṭīkā, and Saṃyuttanikāyaṭṭhakathāya ṭīkā were written by Ācariya Dhammapāla Thera, ³⁹ and the Aṅguttaranikāya-ṭīkā was written by Sāriputta Thera at the request of King Parakkamabāhu. ⁴⁰

The distinction between the two sets of $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ mentioned in Saddhamma-s, and in the case of A also in the Pagan inscription and Gv, is not made in Sās. The two authors are nevertheless clearly stated, and this indicates that in the year 1861, when Sās was compiled, the only known set of $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ on the four $nik\bar{a}yas$ consisted of two kinds of $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ — the older three on D, M, and S written by Dhammapāla, and the later one on A written by Sāriputta.

Sās also lists another much later $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ on D called Sādhujanavilāsinī (Sv-nṭ)⁴¹ written by the *sangharāja* Ñāṇābhivaṃsa.⁴²

1.5. Sāsanavamsadīpa

Sāsanavaṃsadīpa (Sās-dīp) is a work "comparable" to Sās, but "devoted to the authors and books of Ceylon". 43 It was completed in 1879 by $\bar{a}cariya$ Vimalasāra thera, published in 1880 in Colombo 44 and covers "the history of Buddhism in Ceylon down to the time of the introduction of the Burmese $upasampad\bar{a}$ in A.D. 1802". 45 The information about the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ on the four $nik\bar{a}yas$ in Sās-dīp is the same as in Sās. The names of the two sets of $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ (Līnatthapakāsinī and Sāratthamañjūsā) given in Saddhamma-s and Gv are not mentioned at all. Only one set of $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ is listed and it does not have any special name; the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ on D, M, and S are ascribed to Dhammapāla, 46 and a $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ on A is ascribed to Sāriputta. 47

Ñāṇābhivaṃsa, who wrote Sādhujanavilāsinī, Sīlakkhandhavagga-abhinavaṭīkā (Sv-nṭ), is mentioned as the author of "several books

³⁸*PL*, pp. 181–82. King Min-don (1852–77), also called the "Convener of the Fifth Council", held the council in Mandalay in 1868–71 (*PLB*, pp. 92–94). On Sas see also Buddhadatta, 1962, Vol. II, pp. 407–409; Lieberman, 1976, pp. 137–49; Hazra, 1986), pp. 91–94.

³⁹Sās Nº 1961 31,10-12: Visuddhimaggassa mahāṭīkā, Dīghanikāyaṭṭhakathāya ṭīkā, Majjhimanikāyaṭṭhakathāya ṭīkā, Saṃyuttanikāyaṭṭhakathāya ṭīkā sā ti imāyo ācariya-Dhammapālathero akāsi.

⁴⁰Sās N^e 1961 31,13–14: Sāratthadīpanim nāma ṭīkam, Aṅguttaranikāyaṭīkañ ca Parakkamabāhuraññā yācito Sāriputtathero akāsi.

⁴¹Sās N^e 1961 124,7-8: sangharājā hutvā Sādhujjanavilāsinim nāma Dīghanikāyaṭīkam akāsi. Cf. the title of Sv-nṭ B^e 1961 I-II: Sīlakkhandhavagg'aṭṭhakathāya atthavaṇṇanābhūtā Ñāṇābhivaṃsa-dhammasenāpatināmena mahātherena katā Sādhuvilāsinī nāma Sīlakkhandhavagga-abhinavatīkā.

⁴²Ñāṇābhivaṃsa, also mentioned as Ñāṇābhisāsanadhajamahādhammarājaguruthera or Ñāṇābhivaṃsadhammasenāpatimahādhammarājādhirājagurū (Sās Nº 1961 123,13-14, 25-26) was a *saṅgharāja* of Burma during the rule of King Bodōpayā (1782-1819) and also wrote, among several other works, Sādhu-(jana)-vilāsinī (Sv-nṭ) and Peṭakālaṃkāra, Netti-(nava)-mahāṭīkā (Nettmhṭ). See *PLB*, pp. 77-78; Buddhadatta, 1960, pp. 175-78; *HPL*, p. 176.

⁴³*PL*, p. 182. Although most of the authors and books mentioned in Sās-dīp are from Ceylon, there are nevertheless also quite a few references to authors from India and Burma, e.g.: Aggavaṃsa (v. 1238), Buddhappiya (v. 1239), Dāṭhānāga (v. 1241), Coliyācariya Sāriputtatthera (v. 1244), Chappaṭa (v. 1247), Ñāṇābhivaṃsa (v. 1215), etc. See also the Contents, *Vijānāpanaṃ* and *Sūcīpattaṃ* (pp. i–vii) in Sās-dīp; *PLC*, p. 311; Buddhadatta, 1962, Vol. II, pp. 409–10.

⁴⁴The book has two title pages: the first one in Sinhala letters and the second in Roman letters. The Sinhala title page reads: Sakyamunivasse 2423 [1879 CE], Sāsanavaṃsadīpo, ācariya-Vimalasārattherapādena viracito, tassānumatiyā Balanāsara Vīrasīhāmaccena c' eva tadaññehi ca budhikehi janehi Koļambaṭhānīyasmiṃ Satthālokayantasālāyaṃ muddāpito, Saugate saṃvacchare 2424 [1880 CE]; the second title page reads: The Sasanavansa dipo or The History of the Buddhist Church in Pali verse, compiled from Buddhist Holy Scriptures, Commentaries, Histories, &c., &c. by Acariya Vimalasara Thera. A.B. 2423 (Colombo. Printed at the Satthaloka Press for Balatasara Virasinha Amacca and others, A.B. 2424.)

⁴⁵*PL*, p. 182.

⁴⁶Sās-dīp, vv. 1231-32: ... ṭīkā Dīghāgamassa ca, Majjhimaṭṭhakathā-ṭīkā Sāṃyuttaṭṭhakathāya ca, ... Dhammapālena dhīmatā racitā therapādena suttantanayadassinā.

⁴⁷Sās-dīp, vv. 1201–1203: Anguttaranikāyaṭṭhakathā-ṭīkā ... therena Sāriputtena katā.

beginning with Netti-tīkā".48

1.6. Pitakat samuinh

Piṭakat samuinḥ "was composed in 1888 by Manḥ-krīḥ Mahā-sirijeyasū, alias Ūḥ Yaṃ, Ūḥ Yam, or Ūḥ Ran, who had been the royal librarian of the last Burmese king", and "represents an attempt to collect whatever information was available in Burma at that time on literary works in Pāli and Burmese and on their authors." Piṭ-sm (1989) is "the largest and the best work of its kind"; the author "lists 2047 titles, and he provides additional knowledge on most of the works listed." 50

Pit-sm (1989) lists the same $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ on the four $nik\bar{a}yas$ as the Pagan inscription and Gv and, as already mentioned, the titles of the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ given in all three sources are very similar. The names of the two sets, Līnatthapakāsinī and Sāratthamañjūsā, and the two authors, Dhammapāla and Sāriputta, are mentioned as in Gv. The reference numbers of all the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ on the four $nik\bar{a}yas$ listed in Pit-sm (1989) are marked with asterisks, and according to this edition of Pit-sm that means the manuscripts of all these $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ are held in the National Library, Rangoon.

The Līnatthapakāsinī-ṭīkās on D, M, and S, written by Dhammapāla, are listed as follows:

the Līnatthapakāsinī on D is listed under three entries: Sut-

sīlakkhan-tīkā honh, Sut-mahāvā-tīkā and Sut-pātheyya-tīkā;53

the Līnatthapakāsinī on M is also listed under three entries: Mūlapannāsa-ṭīkā, Majjhimapaṇṇāsa-ṭīkā and Uparipaṇṇāsa-ṭīkā; 54

the Līnatthapakāsinī on S has five entries: Sagāthavagga-saṃyutṭīkā, Nidānavagga-saṃyut-ṭīkā, Khandhavagga-saṃyut-ṭīkā, Saļāyatanavagga-saṃyut-ṭīkā and Mahāvaggasaṃyut-ṭīkā.⁵⁵

Piṭ-sm (1989) lists two ṭīkās on A: a ṭīkā written by Dhammapāla and a ṭīkā written by Sāriputta. The first ṭīkā is listed as incomplete and has three entries: Ekaṅguttara-ṭīkā-hoṅḥ, Dukaṅguttara-ṭīkā-hoṅḥ and Tikaṅguttara-ṭīkā-hoṅḥ. Although it is called the "old" (hoṅḥ) ṭīkā the common name Līnatthapakāsinī is not mentioned at all. 56 According to Piṭ-sm (1989) no. 199, "the remaining eight manuscripts of the old ṭīkā, i.e. the ṭīkā on Catukaṅguttara, Pañcaṅguttara, ... Ekādasaṅguttara, cannot be found anywhere in Burma."57

The second $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ on A is mentioned as a "new, revised" $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ (sac) and it has the following eleven entries: ⁵⁸ Ekanguttara- $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ -sac, Duk'-anguttara- $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ -sac, Tikanguttara- $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ -sac, ... Dasanguttara- $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ -sac, Ekādasanguttara- $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ -sac. The entry under Pit-sm (1989) no. 202 gives

⁴⁸Sās-dīp, v. 1215: Ñāṇābhivaṃsadhammādisenāpatiyatissaro, Nettiṭīkādayo neke gandhe viracayī sudhī.

⁴⁹Bechert 1979, p. xiii. The last Burmese king was Thibaw (1878–85), who was the successor of king Min-don (1852–77). See Bechert, 1966, Vol. II, pp. 6–7; also *HPL*, p. 3.

⁵⁰Bechert 1979, p. xiii. In the edition of *Piṭ-sm* (1989) that I consulted, it is also mentioned that the reference numbers of the texts are marked with asterisks if manuscripts of them are held in the National Library (previously Bernard Free Library), Rangoon (*Piṭ-sm* (1989), p. 111, n. *) — "so that the Piṭakat samuinḥ represents a rather complete catalogue of the Burmese National Library too" (Bechert 1979, p. xxxiv). Cf. also Thaw Kaung, 1998, pp. 403–14.

⁵¹See notes 24–28 above.

⁵²Piṭ-sm (1989) nos. 187–212.

⁵³ Piţ-sm (1989) nos. 187, 189-90. Sīlakkhandhavagga-ṭīkā is listed as the "old" (hoṇḥ) ṭīkā, i.e. Sv-pṭ, Paṭhamā Līnatthapakāsinī, to distinguish it not from Sv-ṭ, Paṭhamā Sāratthamañjūsā, but from Sādhujanavilāsinīṭīkā (Sv-nṭ) which is in Piṭ-sm (1989) no. 188 listed as the "new"(sac) ṭīkā.

⁵⁴Pit-sm (1989) nos. 191–93.

⁵⁵Pit-sm (1989) nos. 194–98.

⁵⁶Pit-sm (1989) nos. 199-201.

⁵⁷Translated by Elisabeth Lawrence. Pit-sm (1989) no. 199 reads: ekanguttara tīkā-honḥ — mhā | sī-huiļ-kvyanḥ anurādha-mruṭ anok badarati-ttha-kyonḥ-ne rhaṇ-dhammapāla-pru-saññ || thui-ṭīkā honḥ-kāḥ ekanguttara | duk'-anguttara | tikanguttara 3-kyamḥ-sā aphvạn ṭīkā-honḥ rhi-saññ || kyan-catukanguttara | pañcanguttara | chakkanguttara | sattanguttara | aṭṭh'-anguttara | navanguttara | dasanguttara | ekādasanguttara-tuin aphvan ṭīkā-honḥ 8-con-kāḥ ya-khu-mran-mā-tuinḥ-nuin-naṃ-tvan-ma-rhi-hu mhat-le || (word division as in Piṭ-sm (1989)).

⁵⁸*Piṭ-sm* (1989) nos. 202–12.

75

some additional information about this tīkā:

It was obtained by King Narapati of Pagan from Tamba[paṇṇi]dīpa in Jambudīpa and was written during the reign of King Sirimahāparakkamabāhu by a monk who was an expert in *dhamma* and had three names: Sāriputta, Sāritanuja, and Mahāsāmi. This new greater $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ ($t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ sac $kr\bar{t}$) has eleven manuscripts/bundles, and it is called Sāratthamañjūsā and also Mahātīkā. ⁵⁹

Although Pit-sm (1989) gives essentially the same information about the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ on the four $nik\bar{a}yas$ as the Pagan inscription and Gv, it is interesting to note that the old $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ on A written by Dhammapāla is not mentioned as a part of the Līnatthapakāsinī set. Pit-sm (1989) also does not list any of the first three $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ of the Sāratthamañjūsā set (Sv-t, Ps-t, Spk-t).

1.7. Critical Pāli Dictionary

The last bibliographical source I would like to cite is A Critical Pāli Dictionary (CPD), Epilegomena to Vol. I, pp. 40*-41*, which was published in 1948. Essentially it is very similar to the earliest bibliographical work, Saddhamma-s, because both sources mention two complete sets of $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$, Līnatthapakāsinī and Sāratthamañjūsā. According to CPD the first set was written by Dhammapāla, and the second one by Sāriputta of Poļonnaruva. The $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ of the Līnatthapakāsinī set are also called $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ (pt), while the $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ of the Sāratthamañjūsā set are called just $t\bar{t}t\bar{k}as$ (t). Sādhujanavilāsinī, a later $t\bar{t}t\bar{k}as$ written by Nāṇābhivaṃsa, is called $t\bar{t}t\bar{k}as$ (nt). For the first three $t\bar{t}t\bar{k}as$ of the older set (Sv-pt, Ps-pt, Spk-pt), for the fourth $t\bar{t}t\bar{k}as$ of the later set (Mp-t), and for the new $t\bar{t}t\bar{k}as$ on D (Sv-nt) some references are given to existing

published editions or manuscripts.⁶⁰ For the first three $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ of the later set (Sv-t, Ps-t, Spk-t)⁶¹ no manuscripts or editions are mentioned, and the fourth $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ of the older set (Mp-pt) is referred to Pit-sm (1989) nos. 199–201.⁶² This indicates that although in CPD both sets of $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ are listed, only four $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ were actually available to the editor of CPD: the first three of the Līnatthapakāsinī set and the fourth of the Sāratthamañjūsā set.

The above discussion of the bibliographical references can be presented as shown in Table 1 overleaf:

⁵⁹Translated by Elisabeth Lawrence. Piṭ-sm (1989) no. 202 reads: ek'-anguttara-ṭīkā-sac mhā | jambūdip-kvyanḥ-tambadīpa-tuinḥ pugaṃ praññ narapaticaññ-sū-manḥ-nhạn-apruin-sī-huiḷ-kvyanḥ-siri-mahāparakkama-bāhu-manḥ lak-thak rhan-sāriputtarā | rhan-sāritanuja | rhan-mahāsāmi-pāsāda 3-maññ raso mather-pru-saññ | thui-rhan-sāriputtarā-kāḥ buddha-dāsa-manḥ sāḥ-tō-taññḥ || anguttaranikāy 11-kyamḥ ṭīkā-sac-krīḥ-kui-laññḥ sāratthamañjūsā-ṭīkā amaññ-mhạññ-saññ | mahāṭīkā-laññḥ-khō-saññ || (word division as in Piṭ-sm (1989)).

⁶⁰The following sources are given: for Sv-pṭ, Be 1924 I-III (2.1,11); for Ps-pṭ and Spk-pṭ, the transcripts (1934) from Burmese manuscripts of the National Library (former Bernard Free Library), Rangoon (2.2,11; 2.3,11; cf. Piṭ-sm (1989) nos. 191–98); for Mp-ṭ, Be 1910 I-II (2.4,12); for Sv-nṭ, Be 1913–23 I-II (2.1,13). CPD, Vol. III, p. iv, mentions also Sv-ṭ as "Sīlakkandhavagga-ṭīkā by Dhammapāla, Be, Vol. I-II, (Buddhasāsanasamiti), Rangoon, 1961", which is a mistake; this could be either Sv-pṭ Be 1961 I by Dhammapāla, or Sv-nṭ Be 1961 I-II by Ñāṇābhivaṃsa. Other editions and manuscripts of these tīkās will be discussed below.

⁶¹*CPD*, nos. 2.1,12; 2.2,12; 2.3,12. The manuscripts of these *tīkās* listed in *LPP* will be discussed below.

⁶²*CPD*, no. 2.4,11.

Table I: The tīkās on the four nikāyas in bibliographical works

| Source | D/Sv | M/Ps | S/Spk | A/Mp | Authorship |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| 1.1. Sad-s ⁶³ | pt*64 | pţ* | pţ* | pţ* | porāṇas |
| (14th cent.) | ţ* | ţ* | ţ* | ţ* | theras |
| 1.2. Pagan | (p)ţ | (b)i | (b)t | pţ | |
| (1442) | - | | | ţ | , and the state of |
| 1.3. Gv | pt* | pt* | pt* | pt* | Dhammapāla |
| (17th cent.) | | | | (u)i* | Sāriputta |
| 1.4. Sās | (p)ţ | (p)ţ | (p)ţ | | Dhammapāla |
| (1861) | | | T | ţ | Sāriputta |
| | (n)į | | | | Ñāṇābhivaṃsa |
| 1.5. Sās-dīp | (p)ţ | (p)ţ | (p)ţ | | Dhammapāla |
| (1880) | | | | ţ | Sāriputta |
| | (nţ) | | | | Ñāṇābhivaṃsa |
| 1.6. Piţ-sm (1989) | (p)t* | (p)t* | (p)t* | pţ | Dhammapāla |
| (1888) | | | | ţ* | Sāriputta |
| | nţ | | | | Ñāṇābhivaṃsa |
| 1.7. CPD | pt* | pt* | pt* | pt* | Dhammapāla |
| (1948) | ţ* | ţ* | ţ* | ţ* | Sāriputta |
| | nţ | | | | Ñāṇābhivaṃsa |

2. Manuscripts and editions of the tīkās on the four nikāyas

The bibliographical sources in Table I can be divided into three groups: works which mention only one set of *nikāya-ṭīkās* (i.e. Sv-pṭ, Ps-pṭ, Spk-pṭ, Mp-ṭ, see I.4, I.5), works which list an additional Aṅguttaraṭīkā (i.e. Mp-pṭ, see I.2, I.3, I.6), and works which list two complete sets of *nikāya-ṭīkās* (the old set, Sv-pṭ, Ps-pṭ, Spk-pṭ, Mp-pṭ, and the later set, Sv-ṭ, Ps-ṭ, Spk-ṭ, Mp-ṭ, see I.I, I.7). Here I would like to discuss manuscripts and printed editions of the *nikāya-ṭīkās* belonging to both sets.

2.1. One set of tīkās on the four nikāyas

Sās and Sās-dīp mention only one set of $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$, 65 consisting of the three "older" $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ (Sv-pt, Ps-pt, Spk-pt) ascribed to Dhammapāla and the fourth "later" $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ (Mp-t) ascribed to Sāriputta. There is no distinction between Līnatthapakāsinī and Sāratthamañjūsā; all are just called $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$. Besides the Chaṭṭhasaṅgāyana editions 66 there exist several other editions 67 and manuscripts of these $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$. Because these are the only

⁶⁷Sv-pṭ: E^e 1970 I-III, ed. by Lily de Silva; B^e 1904-1906 I-III, ed. by U Hpye; B^e 1912 I-III, ed. by Hsaya Tin of Nanmadaw; B^e 1915 I-III, ed. by Hsayas Kyī, Kyaw, Thein, and Hba Kyaw (all the Burmese editions are called Līnatthappakāsanā; see Raper and O'Keefe, 1983, p. 34); B^e 1924 I-III (see Warder, 1980, p. 529); C^e 1967, ed. by H. Kalyāṇasiri and H. Kalyāṇadhamma, Somavatī Hēvāvitārana Tīkāganthamālā (Colombo: Anula Press).

Ps-pt(Bangchang, 1981), p. xi, mentions a very old Burmese edition published in 1853.

Spk-pt: Besides the Chaṭṭhasaṅgāyana edition (Spk-pṭ B^e 1961 I-II = N^e 1994 I-III) I am not aware of any other edition of Spk-pṭ.

Mp-ţ: E^e I (1996), II (1998), III (1999); PTS edition by P. Pecenko, Vols. I–III contain *Eka*- and *Dukanipāta-ṭīkā*; B^e 1910 I–II (see *CPD*, Epilegomena to Vol. I, p. 41*); C^e 1907 (see de Silva, 1910–12, p. 150); C^e 1930 (see *EncBuddh*, Vol. I, fasc. 4, p. 629, s.v. *Anguttara-navaṭīkā*). Mp-ṭ C^e 1907 and 1930 contain only *Ekanipāta-ṭīkā*. For a detailed description of C^e 1907, B^e 1910, and C^e 1930, see Pecenko, Introduction in Mp-ṭ E^e (1996) I, pp. xxxvii–xlii.

⁶³Sad-s = Saddhamma-s.

⁶⁴The $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ listed as Līnatthapakāsinī (pt) or Sāratthamañjūsā (t) are marked with *.

⁶⁵Sv-nţ, compiled by Ñāṇābhivaṃsa, will not be discussed from here onwards because it is a much later work. There exists a Chaṭṭhasaṅgāyana ed.: Sv-nṭ Be 1961 I-II; CPD, Epilegomena to Vol. I, p. 40*, mentions also Sv-nṭ Be 1913-23 I-II (2.1,13). The Chaṭṭhasaṅgāyana edition of this ṭīkā is available also on CS CD-ROM.

⁶⁶Sv-pţ Be 1961 I-III; Ps-pţ Be 1961 I-III; Spk-pţ Be 1961 I-II; Mp-ţ Be 1961 I-III. The Chaţţhasangāyana editions of these ţīkās were reprinted by the Vipassana Research Institute, Igatpuri, India (Sv-pţ Ne 1993 I-III; Ps-pţ Ne 1995 I-IV; Spk-pţ Ne 1994 I-III; Mp-ţ Ne 1996 I-III), and are available also on CS CD-ROM.

⁶⁸MSS of Sv-pt are listed in: Lily de Silva, General Introduction in Sv-pt E^e, pp. xi-xii (7 C MSS; these MSS are listed in *LPP*); *LPP* I 39 (16 C MSS); Fausböll, 1890-96, p. 28 (I B MS); H. Braun et al., 1985, pp. 126-28 (I B MS); Rhys Davids, 1882, p. 52 (I C MS); *Pit-sm* (1989) nos. 187, 189-90 (I

ones printed these $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ are often considered to be the only existing $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ on the four $nik\bar{a}yas$.

2.2. Two Anguttara-țīkās

In the Pagan inscription, Gv, and Pit-sm (1989), an additional $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ — not mentioned in Sās and Sās-dīp— is added: the old $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ on A (Mp-pt), called Catutthā Līnatthapakāsinī.

According to one of the latest editions of Pit-sm (1989) (nos. 199–201) an incomplete manuscript of Mp-pt (containing the old $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ on the first three $nip\bar{a}tas$) is now held in the National Library, Rangoon.⁷⁰

During my stay in Burma in December 1999, I visited the National Library, Rangoon, and the Universities Central Library, Rangoon University Campus. In both libraries I searched for manuscripts of Manorathapūraṇī-purāṇaṭīkā, Catutthā Līnatthapakāsinī (Mp-pt). In the

B MS).

MSS of Ps-pt are listed in: Bangchang, 1981, p. xi (1 K MS, 4 C MSS; these 4 C MSS are listed in *LPP*); *LPP*, vol. 1, p. 71 (8 C MSS), vol. 2, p. 53 (6 C MSS); Rhys Davids, 1882, p. 51 (1 C MS); Fausböll, 1890–96, pp. 28–29 (1 B MS); Rhys Davids, 1883, p. 147 (1 B MS); *Piṭ-sm* (1989) nos. 191–93 (1 B MS).

MSS of Spk-pt are listed in: *LPP*, vol. 1, p. 93 (1 B, 11 C MSS), vol. 2, p. 71 (7 C MSS); Silva, 1938, Vol. I, pp. 36–37 (1 C MS); *Pit-sm* (1989) nos. 194–98 (1 B MS).

MSS of Mp-t are listed in: *LPP*, Vol I, p. 2 (5 C MSS); Vol. II, p. 1 (7 C MSS); Vol. III, p. 164 (1 B MS from British Museum, Or 2089); de Silva, 1938, Vol. I, p. 37 (1 C MS); *Pit-sm* (1989) nos. 202–12 (1 B MS); Fragile Palm Leaves project, Thailand (4 B MSS; MS ID Nos. 906, 949, 983, 1645); National Library, Rangoon (3 B MSS; Acc. Nos. 800, 1846, 1937); Universities Central Library, University of Rangoon (2 B MSS; Acc. Nos. 7691, 9816/10095).

This list is, of course, not exhaustive; it is possible that more manuscripts of the above mentioned $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ can be found in Burma and perhaps also in Thailand.

National Library, which was in the process of moving into a new building, I was not able to find any manuscript of Mp-pt, but in the Universities Central Library I found, with the generous help of U Thaw Kaung, a manuscript (Acc. No. 10095) which contained both Anguttara-tīkās, Mp-pt and Mp-t, in one bundle. For a detailed description of this manuscript of Mp-pt — the only one known to me — see Part II, I below.

2.3. Two complete sets of tīkās on the four nikāyas

Saddhamma-s and *CPD* mention two complete sets, Līnattha-pakāsinī (Sv-pt, Ps-pt, Spk-pt, Mp-pt) and Sāratthamañjūsā (Sv-t, Ps-t, Spk-t, Mp-t). Here, three later $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ are added: a $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ on D (Sv-t) called Paṭhamā Sāratthamañjūsā, a $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ on M (Ps-t) called Dutiyā Sāratthamañjūsā and a $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ on S (Spk-t) called Tatiyā Sāratthamañjūsā.

I am not aware of any printed edition of these three later $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ (Sv-t, Ps-t, Spk-t); it is also interesting to note that they are not mentioned in the Burmese bibliographical works discussed above. Somadasa's catalogue $Lank\bar{a}v\bar{e}$ puskoļa pot $n\bar{a}m\bar{a}valiya$ (LPP), on the other hand, lists quite a few manuscripts of Sv-t, Ps-t and Spk-t. Since the catalogue also clearly distinguishes the $pur\bar{a}nat\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ ($L\bar{t}natthapak\bar{a}sin\bar{t}$) from the later $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ ($navat\bar{t}k\bar{a}$, $dutiyat\bar{t}k\bar{a}$) called Saratthamañjūsā, it seems that Somadasa as well as the temple librarians who gave him information about the manuscripts held in their temples was clearly aware of the difference between these two sets of $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$. In LPP the manuscripts of Sv-t, Ps-t and Spk-t are listed as follows:

Sv-ț: six manuscripts s.v. $D\bar{\imath}ghanik\bar{a}ya$ -dutiyaț $\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}$, Pațhama- $S\bar{a}rattha$ - $ma\tilde{n}j\bar{u}s\bar{a}$:

ı C MS in Tapodhanārāma Purāņa Mahāvihāraya, Käṭapaļagoḍa,

⁶⁹See for example *HPL*, pp. 167, 173.

⁷⁰In May 1999, I met U Thaw Kaung, retired Chief Librarian of Universities Central Library, Rangoon, who confirmed that this manuscript could be held in the National Library, Rangoon. See also 1.6 and n. 50 above.

⁷¹See *LPP*, Vol. I, pp. 39, 71, 93. In 1995 I sent several letters to the temples in Sri Lanka listed in *LPP* and enquired about the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ held in their libraries, but I received no reply.

⁷²LPP, Vol. 1, p. 39 (cf. below this entry s.v. Dīghanikāyapaṭhama-(purāṇa)ṭīkā, Paṭhama-Līnatthappakāsinī, Līnatthapakāsanā, Līnatthavaṇṇanā where
16 MSS of Sv-pṭ are listed).

Karandeniya, Vatugedara, Ambalamgoda (temple no. 348);

- I C MS in Śailabimbārāmaya, Dodandūva (temple no. 365);
- I C MS in Sundarārāma Mahāvihāraya (Dhammānanda Pustakālaya), Ambalamgoḍa (temple no. 371);
- I C MS in Gangārāma Mahāvihāraya, Padavtoṭa, Māhālla, Gālla (temple no. 381);
- 1 C MS in Subhadrārāma Vihāraya, Murutamurē, Hakmana (temple no. 487);
- I C MS in Kasāgal Rajamahāvihāraya, Uḍayāļa, Hakuruvela (temple no. 717).

Ps-ţ: eight manuscripts s.v. *Majjhimanikāya-navaṭīkā*, *Dutiya-Sārattha-mañjūsā*: 73

- 1 C MS in Tapassarārāmaya, Moraṭumulla, Moraṭuva (temple no. 64);⁷⁴
- I C MS in Saddharmākara Pirivena, Pinvatta, Pānaduraya (temple no. 153);
- I B MS ⁷⁵ in Vanavāsa Rajamahāvihāraya (Paṇḍitaratna Pirivena), Yātrāmulla, Bentara, Bentoţa (temple no. 326);
- I C MS in Tapodhanārāma Purāṇa Mahāvihāraya, Käṭapaḷagoḍa, Karandeniya, Vatugedara, Ambalamgoda (temple no. 348);

- I C MS in Śailabimbārāmaya, Dodandūva (temple no. 365);
- I C MS in Sirivaḍḍhanārāmaya, Dēvagoḍa, Mādampē, Ambalaṃ-goda (temple no. 367);
- I C MS in Jinajōtikārāmaya, Mūdavela, Udukinda, Fort Mekdonald (temple no. 807);
- I C MS in Sunandārāmaya (Sunandodaya Pirivena), Mādampē, Aṭakaļanpanna (temple no. 860).

Spk-t: two manuscripts:

- I C Ms held in Yaṭagala Rajamahāvihāraya (Heṭṭhāvala Pirivena), Uṇavaṭuna (temple no. 435) is listed s.v. Saṃyuttanikāya-navaṭīkā, Tatiya-Sāratthamañjūsā;⁷⁶
- I C MS in the same bundle with Spk-pt is mentioned s.v. *Saṃyutta-nikāya-ṭīkā*⁷⁷ and is held in Jinajōtikārāmaya, Mūdavela, Udukinda, Fort Mekdonald (temple no. 807).

The above list of the manuscripts of Sv-t, Ps-t and Spk-t held in the temple libraries in Sri Lanka indicates that the information given in Saddhamma-s could be correct.

The editions and manuscripts of the two sets of $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ discussed above can be presented as follows:

⁷³LPP, Vol. I, p. 71 (cf. below this entry s.v. Majjhimanikāya-purāṇaṭīkā, Dutiya-Līnattha-ppakāsinī, Līnatthappakāsinī, Līnatthavaṇṇanā where 7 MSS of Ps-pṭ are listed). W.A. de Silva mentions also a manuscript of Majjhimanikāya-ṭīkā, Papañcasūdanī-ṭīkā, Dutiya-Sāratthamañjūsā (i.e. Ps-ṭ) held in the Library of the Colombo Museum; see de Silva, 1938, Vol. I, p. 36, MSS 108–109. However, the introductory passage quoted in the catalogue is identical with Ps-pṭ Be 1961 I 1,5-12 which indicates that the manuscript is most probably Ps-pṭ and not Ps-ṭ. See also Bangchang, 1981, p. xii.

⁷⁴There is also a MS of Ps-pt held in the same temple; see LPP, Vol. I, p. 71, s.v. Majjhimanikāya-purāṇaṭīkā, Dutiya-Līnatthappakāsinī, Līnatthappakāsinī, Līnatthavannanā.

⁷⁵The Burmese manuscript listed here could indicate that in addition to Mp-t, the other three later $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ (Sāratthamañjūsā I–III) were also known in Burma. Cf. the discussion on the Pagan inscription, Gv, Sās and Pit-sm (1989) in 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.6 above. Here further research about $nik\bar{a}ya-t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ in Burma is needed.

⁷⁶*LPP*, Vol. I, p. 93.

⁷⁷*LPP*, ibid. Under the temple entry no. 807, the following note is added: *mehi navaṭīkā*, *purāṇaṭīkā dekama miśravī äta*. This manuscript has also — as the Burmese MS of Mp-pṭ / Mp-ṭ discussed in Part I, 2.2, and Part II — both *ṭīkās* (Spk-pṭ and Spk-ṭ) in one bundle.

In an email dated 23 May 2001, L.S. Cousins also informs me that Sister H. Vinita Tseng "on her visit to Taiwan last month ... obtained copies of some manuscripts (mostly Burmese) in a collection there. One was a $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ labelled $S\bar{a}ratthama\bar{n}j\bar{u}s\bar{a}$, apparently to Spk [that is, Spk-t]." This is a further indication that, as stated in n. 75 above, in addition to Mp-t, the other three later $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ ($S\bar{a}ratthama\bar{n}j\bar{u}s\bar{a}$ I–III: Sv-t, Ps-t, Spk-t) were probably also known in Burma.

Table II: Manuscripts and printed editions of the tīkās on the four nikāyas

| | Līnatthapakāsinī | Sāratthamañjūsā |
|-------|--|--------------------------|
| D/Sv | Sv-pį | Sv-ţ |
| | Eds.: Be 1904–1906, 1912, 1915, 1924, 1961; Ce 1967; Ee 1970; Ne 1993 | Ed. —— |
| | MSS: 3 B, 17 C | MSS: 6 C |
| M/Ps | Ps-pţ | Ps-ţ |
| | Eds.; Be 1853, 1961; Ne 1995 | Ed. —— |
| | MSS: 3 B, 15 C, 1 K | MSS: 1 B, 7 C |
| S/Spk | Spk-pţ | Spk-ţ |
| | Ed.: Be 1961, Ne 1994 | Ed. —— |
| | MSS: 2 B, 19 C | MSS: 1 B (?, n. 79), 2 C |
| A/Mp | Mp-pţ | Mp-t |
| | Ed. —— | Eds.: Be 1910, 1961; |
| | | Ce 1907, 1930; Ee 1996; |
| | | Ne 1996 |
| | MSS: 1 B (see Part I, 2.2 above) | MSS: 11 B, 13 C |
| | I B (see n. 56 above) | |

Part II: Catutthā Līnatthapakāsinī and Catutthā Sāratthamañjūsā

1. Description of the Burmese manuscript of Mp-pt from Universities Central Library, Rangoon (Acc. No. 10095)

The titles on the cover of this manuscript read Anguttuir-ṭīkā-sac / [Anguttuir-ṭīkā]-honḥ, the same titles as used in Piṭ-sm (1989) (nos. 199–201, 202–12) for describing the "old" (honḥ) and the "new" (sac) Anguttaraṭīkā. The manuscript has regular Burmese foliation on the right margin verso of each folio; each folio has eleven lines written in small round Burmese letters, and there are very few of the orthographic errors which are common in Burmese manuscripts. The manuscript has two parts:

- (1) Folios ka-thai (140 fol.) contain seven nipāta-tīkās of Mp-ţ; it begins with Pañcakanipāta-tīkā and ends with Ekādasanipātaṭīkā. 78 On the left margin verso of each folio is written Anguttuir-tīkā-sac pāṭh (du[tiya]) and the last folio of this section (thai) has the title: Anguttara-mahāṭīkā, that is, Mp-ṭ. According to the colophon the manuscript was edited by Paññājotābhidhaja 79 in 1219 BE (1857 CE) in Bākarā monastery in Mandalay and copied by an unknown scribe in 1254 BE (1892 CE).
- (2) Folios *tho-po* (108 fol.) contain the "old" Aṅguttara-ṭīkā (Aṅguttuirṭīkā-hoṅḥ), that is, Manorathapūraṇī-purāṇaṭīkā, Catutthā Līnatthapakāsinī (Mp-pṭ). On the left margin verso of each folio is written Aṅguttuir-ṭīkā-hoṅḥ pāṭh. This is the first manuscript of Mp-pṭ that is known to me;⁸⁰ I am also not aware of any printed edition of the

The situation in Sri Lanka and Thailand seems to be quite different. In an email dated 22 November 1999, L.S. Cousins writes, "I am not at all clear as to the Anguttara-tīkā ascribed to Dhammapāla (Mp-pt). I could not find any

⁷⁸The first four *nipāta-ṭīkās* of Mp-ṭ, *Ekanipāta-ṭīkā-Catukkanipāta-ṭīkā*, are in another manuscript held in the same library (Acc. No. 9816).

⁷⁹On Paññājotābhidhaja, see Primoz Pecenko, "Introduction" in Mp-ţ I, pp. xxxix-xl.

⁸⁰According to U Nyunt Maung, Manuscript Consultant, Universities Historical Research Centre, Rangoon, it is possible that more MSS of Mp-pt are held in the temple libraries in Burma. But because of the extensive use of the later $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ (Mp-t), which replaced the older one, these manuscripts were probably not used much. In a letter dated 10 October 1995, Prof. U Ko Lay informs me that "the bhikkhu teachers of advanced Pitakas at the [Buddhist] University are not sure whether the old tīkās of Anguttara [Mp-pt] are still extant at all.... [T]eachers in various monasteries have ... always used the new $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$, the Sāratthamañjūsā of Sāriputta [Mp-t], also called Mahātīkā, because ... the expositions therein are, according to them, much better and preferable. The old Anguttara-tīkās appear to be out of use in Myanma monasteries for a long time ... for two reasons: only three $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ have been listed in their libraries [cf. Pit-sm (1989) 199–201]; the remaining eight were never existent in Myanma and ... [even] the first three are not too well known amongst present day bhikhu scholars. For the same reasons, the Sixth Council completely ignored the old Anguttara-tīkās and recited only the new tīkās [cf. Pit-sm (1989) nos. 202-12], the complete set of which was also published [i.e. Mp-t Be 1961]."

"old" Anguttara-țīkā.

The manuscript contains the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ on the first three $nip\bar{a}tas$ only:⁸¹ it contains most of the $Ekanip\bar{a}ta-t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ (folios tho^V,1-dho^T,10)⁸² and longer passages from $Dukanip\bar{a}tat\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ (folios dho^V,10-na^V,8)⁸³ and $Tikanip\bar{a}ta-t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ (folios na^V,8-po^V.4).⁸⁴

The text on the first few folios of the newly discovered manuscript of Mp-pt is exactly the same (with minor orthographic differences) as in the other three "old" $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s^{85}$ and in this respect differs considerably from Mp-t. 86 This is a very strong indication that the manuscript discussed here really belongs to the old Līnatthappakāsinī set.

The text on the last folio (po) ends abruptly in the middle of *Tikanipāta-ṭīkā*⁸⁷ and a colophon follows. The title given in the colophon is *Aṅguttuir-ṭīkā-hoṅḥ-pāṭh*, the editor (*visodhaka*) who "collated" the text from "different readings" (*saṃsandiy' aññapāṭhehi*) is Jotābhināmathera, who lived in Maṇipupphara monastery. The date of editing is

not given and the date of copying is 1254 BE (1892 CE).88

Although according to Saddhamma-s the "old" $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ (Mp-pt) was a basis for the later one (Mp-t), many passages in this manuscript of Mp-pt are nevertheless essentially different from the parallel passages in Mp-t. The differences and similarities of some of these passages will be to some extent discussed in Part II, 2–3 below.

It is also interesting to note that in this manuscript both $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$, Mp-pt and Mp-t, are in the same bundle, which could indicate that these two $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ were, probably at least during a certain period, consulted together, complementing each other.

2. Three chapters from Anguttaranikāya-purāṇaṭīkā, Catutthā Līnatthapakāsinī (Mp-pṭ)

This section contains the following three chapters from the manuscript of Aṅguttaranikāya-purāṇaṭīkā, Catutthā Līnatthapakāsinī (Mp-pṭ, see Part II, 1) and the differences from the parallel chapters in Mp-ṭ Ee 1998 II:

Mp-pṭ, *Ekanipāta-ṭīkā* III: *Akammaniyavaggo tatiyo* (folio ṇu^r,5- ṇu^v,5); cf. *Akammaniyavaggavaṇṇanā*, a parallel chapter in Mp-ṭ II 36,1- 38,12;

Mp-pţ, *Ekanipāta-ṭīkā* IV: *Adantavaggo catuttho* (folio ṇu^v,5-8); cf. *Adantavaggavaṇṇanā*, a parallel chapter in Mp-ţ II 39,1-14;

Mp-pṭ, Ekanipāta-ṭīkā V: Anatthavaggo pañcamo (folios ṇu^v,8ṇe^r,11); cf. Paṇihita-acchavaggavaṇṇanā, a parallel chapter in Mp-ṭ II, 40,1-60,17.

copy in Ceylon or Thailand in the 1970s. In fact, I am reasonably sure that there is no copy in Ceylon. Some are listed in various sources, but I believe that all have turned out to be mistakes, when checked."

⁸¹Cf. *Piṭ-sm* (1989), no. 199; and Part I, 1.6, above.

⁸²The text of *Ekanipāta-ṭīkā* corresponds approximately to Mp-ṭ E^e I I,I-III 163,8. There are considerable differences between Mp-ṭ and Mp-ṭ: most of the chapters of the *Ekanipāta-ṭīkā* of Mp-ṭ are, compared with the same chapters in Mp-ṭ, much shorter; e.g. *Nettinayavaṇṇanā* on *Rūpādivagga* is much longer in Mp-ṭ (cf. Mp-ṭ E^e I 76,I-97,7) than in the MS of Mp-pṭ where it is given on three folios only (ḍhū^r,9-ḍho^v,8).

⁸³This corresponds approximately to Mp-t E^e III 195.5–253.7 (folios dho^r,10–na^r,8 actually contain much less text, since on the folio dham^r,6 is a lacuna corresponding to Mp-t E^e III 204.3–241,12).

⁸⁴This corresponds approximately to Mp-t Be 1961 II 83,16–148,2. This is at present the only known and available manuscript of Mp-pt; for a textual comparison of three selected parallel chapters from Mp-pt and Mp-t see Part II, 2 below.

⁸⁵Cf. Sv-pt E^e I 1,1 foll; Ps-pt B^e 1961 I 1,1 foll.; Spk-pt B^e 1961 I 1,1 foll.

⁸⁶Cf. Mp-t Ee I I,1 foll.

⁸⁷Cf. Mp-t Be 1961 II 148,2.

⁸⁸Folio por,9-11 reads: Jotābhināmatherena || Maṇipuppharavāsinā || saṃ-sandiy' añña-pāṭhehi || sādhukāyaṃ 'bhisankhatā || Sakkarāja 1254 ||. Jotābhināmathera is [Paññā]jotābhi-[dhaja]nāmathera who also edited the portion of Mp-ṭ in the same bundle (see (1) above) and the editing probably took place approximately at the same time, i.e. around 1219 BE (1857 CE). According to U Nyunt Maung, Maṇipupphara was a name of a temple belonging to a larger monastic complex in Mandalay called Bākarā.

These three chapters were chosen because they clearly demonstrate the differences between the two $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ (Mp-pt and Mp-t) as described in Saddhamma-s. This is a short preliminary comparison of the two $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ and final conclusions will be drawn only when a critical edition of the entire manuscript of Mp-pt is completed and compared with Mp-t.

Here the main text is Mp-pṭ and the differences in Mp-ṭ are given in the footnotes. In two cases, where the additions in Mp-ṭ are very long (see Part II, 2, n. 140 and n. 217 below), the entire text from Mp-ṭ is given in the endnotes (see Part II, 2, endnotes (1) and (2) below). Since the text in the manuscript of Mp-pṭ has only a few orthographic errors, the above three chapters will be reproduced here in Roman transliteration without any changes. Mp-ṭ stands here for Mp-ṭ Ee 1998 II and Mp-pṭ stands for the manuscript of Aṅguttaranikāya-purāṇaṭīkā, Catutthā Līnatthapakāsinī (Mp-pṭ), described in Part II, I, above.

[Akammaniyavaggo tatiyo]⁸⁹

- (I) ⁹⁰abhāvitan [52,1] ⁹¹ ti samathavipassanābhāvanāvasena na bhāvitam tathā abhāvitattā. tam hi avaddhitan [52,1] ti vuccati paṭipakkhābhibhavena paribrūhanābhāvato. ten' āha bhagavā akammaniyam hotī [52,3] ti.
- (2) dutiye vuttapariyāyena⁹² attho veditabbo [52,5]. paṭhame [52,6] ti tatiyavaggassa paṭhamasutte. vaṭṭavasenā [52,6] ti vipākavaṭṭavasena. tebhūmakavaṭṭan [52,8–9] ti tebhumakavipākavaṭṭam.⁹³ vaṭṭapaṭilābhāya kamman [52,9] ti vipākavaṭṭassa paṭilābhāya upanissayabhūtaṃ kammaṃ, tassa sahāyabhūtaṃ *kilesavaṭṭan ti vadanti. tathā hi taṃ vaṭṭapaṭilābhāya kamman [52,9] ti vuttam.*94 vivaṭṭapaṭilābhāya kamman [52,10–11] ti vivaṭṭādhigamassa upanissayabhūtaṃ kammaṃ. yaṃ pana carabhavanibbattakakammaṃ,⁹⁵ taṃ vivaṭṭappaṭilābhāya kammaṃ hoti, na hotī ti. na hoti vaṭṭapādakabhāvato. carimabhavapaṭisandhi viya pana vivaṭṭūpanissayo ti sakkā viññātuṃ. na hi kadā ci tihetukapaṭisandhiyā vinā visesādhigamo sambhavati. imesu suttesū [52,11] ti imesu⁹⁶ paṭhamadutiyesu suttesu⁹⁷ yathākkamaṃ vaṭṭa-vivaṭṭam eva kathitaṃ.
- (3) ⁹⁸**abhāvitan** ti ettha bhāvanā nāma samādhibhāvanā. sā yattha āsaṃkitabbā, taṃ kāmāvacarapaṭhamamahākusalacittādi-abhāvitan ti adhippetan ti āha *devamanussasampattiyo* [52,15] ti ādi.
- (4) catutthe yasmā *cittan* [52,22] ti vivaṭṭavasena⁹⁹ uppannaṃ cittaṃ¹⁰⁰ adhippetaṃ, tasmā jātijarābyādhimaraṇasokādidukkhassa anibbattanato mahato atthāya saṃvattatī ti yojanā veditabbā.

 $^{^{89}}$ This title is given in Mp-pt at the end of this chapter; Mp-t III. Akammaniya-vaggavaṇṇanā 90 Mp-t adds: tatiyassa paṭhame 91 These numbers refer to page and line in Mp E^e 1973 I. 92 = Mp-t v.l.; Mp-t: vuttavipariyāyena 93 Mp-t: -bhūmaka- 94 Mp-t reads: kilesavaṭṭam pi kammaggahaṇen' eva saṅgahitan ti daṭṭhabbaṃ for *kilesavaṭṭan ti ... vaṭṭapaṭilābhāya kamman ti vuttaṃ* 95 Mp-ṭ: carimabhavanibbattakaṃ kammaṃ 96 Mp-ṭ adds: pana 97 Mp-ṭ: paṭhamadutiyasuttesu (for: paṭhamadutiyesu suttesu) 98 Mp-ṭ adds: tatiye 99 Mp-ṭ: -vasen' eva 100 Mp-ṭ: uppannacittaṃ (for: uppannaṃ cittaṃ)

(5-6) ¹⁰¹*uppannan* [52,26] ti ekuppādādikhaṇattayam ¹⁰² pi *abhavitaṃ* ¹⁰³ [52,26] bhāvanārahitaṃ *apātubhūtam* [52,26] eva paṇḍitassa sammatassa ¹⁰⁴ uppannakiccassa asādhāraṇato ¹⁰⁵ yathā:

aputto ti. [cf. Mogg III 17]

yo¹⁰⁶ hi samattho hutvā pitu puttakiccam asādheti so¹⁰⁷ aputto ti loke vuccati, evam sampadam idam pi.¹⁰⁸ ten' āha *kasmā* [53,1] ti ādi. *etesu*¹⁰⁹ *dhammesū* [53,4] ti lokuttarapādakajhānādisu.¹¹⁰ thero pana matthakapattam¹¹¹ eva bhāvitam¹¹² dassento *maggacittam evā* [53.6] ti āha.

- (7–8) ¹¹³punappunam akan¹¹⁴ [53,8] ti bhāvanābahulikārādivasena¹¹⁵ punappunam na katam. *imāni pi dve* [53,9] ti imesu dvisu¹¹⁶ suttesu āgatāni imāni pi dve cittāni.
- (9) ¹¹⁷ dukkham adhivahatī¹¹⁸ [53,12–13] ti *tam adhibhavantum katvā vahati. adhivāsena gahitabbam katvā vahati. āharatī [53,13] ti *119 āneti. dukkhenā [53,15] ti kicchena. duppesanato [53,20] ti dukkhena pesetabbato.
- (10) matthakapattam vipassanāsukham pākatikajhānasukhato¹²⁰ santatarapanitaram¹²¹ evā ti āha *jhānasukhato vipassanāsukhan* [53,24] ti. ten' āha bhagavā:

suññāgāram paviṭṭhassa santacittassa bhikkhuno amānusī ratī¹²² hoti sammā dhammam vipassato yato yato sammasati khandhānam udayabbayam labhate¹²³ pitipāmojjam¹²⁴ amatam tam vijānatan ti. [Dhp 373–74] tam hi cittam vissattha-indavajirasadisam amoghabhāvato.

Akammaniyavaggo tatiyo. 125

[Adantavaggo catuttho]¹²⁶

- (1-2) ¹²⁷*adantan* [54,6] ti cittabhāvanāvidhinā¹²⁸ na dantaṃ. *nibbisevanan [56,9] ti samavipassanāmaggaphalavasena vigataṃ visevanaṃ.*¹²⁹
- (3-4) *agopitan [56,13] ti sīlādivasena gopanabhāvena na gopitam.*¹³⁰ ten' āha satisamvararahitan [54,13] ti. catutthe tatiye vuttavipariyāyena attho veditabbo.
 - (5–6) ¹³¹ purimasadiso evā ¹³² [54,19] ti tatiyacatutthasadiso eva.
- (7–8) ¹³³*upamā pan' etthā* [54,21] ti yathā paṭhamādīsu adantahatthī¹³⁴-assādayo upamābhāvena gahitā, evam ettha sattapaṭṭhamesu¹³⁵ *asaṃvutagharadvārādivasena*¹³⁶ *veditabbā* [54,21–22] ti vuttaṃ.
- (9–10) *catūhi*¹³⁷ *padehī* [54,23] ti adantādīhi catūhi padehi yojetvā navadasamāni¹³⁸ suttāni vuttānī ti yojanā.

Adantovaggo catuttho. 139

[Anatthavaggo pañcamo]¹⁴⁰

(1) *upamā va opamam, so eva attho, tasmim bodhetabbo nipāto [55,1]. seyyathā pi [55,1] ti yathā ti attho. atthenā [55,2] ti upameyyatthena. attham paṭhamam vatvā pacchā upamam dassento atthena upamam parivāretvā dasseti [55,2] nāma, upamam pana

¹⁰² Mp-t: avigatuppādādikhaņattayam ¹⁰¹Mp-t adds: pañcamachatthesu 104 Mp-t: panditasammatassa (for: panditassa ¹⁰³Mp-t: abhāvitam sammatassa) 105Mp-t: asādhanato 106Mp-t so 107Mp-t: asādhento (for: 108 Mp-t omits 109 = Mp v.l.; Mp = Mp-t: tesu 110 Mp-t: asādheti so) ¹¹²Mp-t adds: cittam ¹¹³Mp-t adds: ¹¹¹Mp-t: -ppattam -ijhānādīsu sattamatthamesu 114Mp-t = Mp E^e: akatan 115Mp-t: -bahulīkāravasena ¹¹⁶Mp-t: dvīsu ¹¹⁷Mp-t: navame ¹¹⁸Mp-pt and Mp-t (= Mp B^e 1958, N^e 1976) so; Mp Ee, Ce 1923: āvahatī; cf. A I 6,14-15: dukkhādhivāham, Mp Ee I 53.13: dukkhāvaham 119 Mp-t omits: *tam adhibhavantum ... āharatī ti* 120 Mp-t: -jjhāna- 121 Mp-t: -panītataram 122 Mp-t: rati 123 Mp-t: labhatī 124Mp-t: pīti-

 $^{^{125}}$ = Mp-ț v.l. (= Mp E^e , C^e 1923); Mp-ț : Akammaniyavaggavaṇṇanā niṭṭhitā. 126 This title is given in Mp-pṭ at the end of this chapter; Mp-ṭ IV. Adantavaggavaṇṇanā 127 Mp-ṭ adds : catutthassa paṭhame 128 Mp-ṭ : cittabhāvanāya vinā 129 Mp-ṭ omits : *nibbisevanan ti ... visevanam.* 130 Mp-ṭ omits : *agopitan ti ... na gopitaṃ.* 131 Mp-ṭ adds : pañcamachaṭṭhesu 132 Mp-ṭ (= Mp E^e) : yevā 133 Mp-ṭ adds : sattamaṭṭhamesu 134 Mp-ṭ : -hatthi- 135 Mp-ṭ : sattamaṭṭhamesu , v.l. : sattamapaṭhamesu 136 = Mp B^e 1958, C^e 1923, N^e 1976 ; Mp E^e : asaṃvutaṃ ghara- 137 Mp-ṭ : navamadasamesu catūhi pi 138 Mp-ṭ : navamadasamāni 139 A E^e , Mp B^e 1958, N^e 1976 ; Mp E^e , C^e 1923 : Dantavaggo catuttho ; Mp-ṭ : Adantavaggavaṇṇanā niṭṭhitā 140 This title is given in Mp-pṭ at the end of this chapter ; Mp-ṭ : V. Paṇihita-acchavaggavaṇṇanā

paṭhamaṃ vatvā pacchā atthaṃ dassento upamāya atthaṃ parivāretvā dasseti [55,4-5] nāma, tadubhayassa pi āgataṭṭhānaṃ nidassento Vatthasutte viyā [55,3] ti ādim āha.*¹⁴¹

kaṇakasadiso¹⁴² sāliphalassa bunde¹⁴³ uppajjanakavālo *sālisukaṃ* ¹⁴⁴ [55,9], tathā *yavasukaṃ* [55,10]. sukassa tanūkabhāvato¹⁴⁵ bhedavato bhedo nātimahā hotī ti āha *bhindissati*, ¹⁴⁶ *chavi* ¹⁴⁷ *chindissati ti* ¹⁴⁸ *attho* [55,13] ti. yathā micchāṭhapitasālisukādi akkantaṃ pi hatthādi ¹⁴⁹ na bhindati bhindituṃ ayoggabhāvena ṭhitattā, evaṃ ācayagāmicittaṃ avijjaṃ na bhindati bhindituṃ ayoggabhāvena uppannattā ti imam atthaṃ dasseti *micchāṭhapitenā* [55,14] ti ādinā. *aṭṭhasu ṭhānesū* [55,16] ti ¹⁵⁰ dukkhādisaccesu¹⁵¹ pubbantādisu¹⁵² cā ti aṭṭhasu ṭhānesu. *ghanabalahan* ¹⁵³ [55,16] ti cirakālaparibhāvanāya ativiya balahaṃ. mahāvisayatāya mahāpaṭipakkhatāya bahuparivāratāya bahudukkhatāya ca mahatī avijjā ti mahā-avijjā. taṃ *mahā-avijjaṃ* [55,17]. *mahā*-saddo [55,17] hi bahubhāvattho pi hoti mahājano ti ādisu¹⁵⁴ viya. ¹⁵⁵ *vijjhanti arahantamaggaññāṇaṃ ukkaṃsagativijānanena, *¹⁵⁶ taṇhāvānato nikkhantabhāvenā [55,19] ti tattha taṇhāya abhāvam eva vadati.

akkantan ti ruļi hotī¹⁵⁷ ti āha *hatthena* — *pa* — *vuttan*¹⁵⁸ [55,25–56,1] ti. *ariyavohāro* [56,1] ti ariyadesavāsīnam vohāro. mahantam

agahetvā¹⁵⁹ appamatthakass'¹⁶⁰ eva gahaņe payojanam dassetum *kasmā panā* [56,1] ti ādi āraddham. tena: vivaṭṭupanissayakusalam¹⁶¹ nāma yoniso uppāditam appakan ti na cintetabbam, anukkamena laddhapaccayam hutvā vaddhamānam¹⁶² khuddakanadi¹⁶³ viya pakkhandā mahoghā¹⁶⁴ samuddam anukkamena nibbānamahāsamuddam eva purisam pāpetī ti dīpeti.

(3) ¹⁶⁵dosena paduṭṭhacittan</sup> [56,21] ti sampayuttadhammānaṃ yasmiṃ santāne uppajjati, tassa ca dussanena ¹⁶⁶ visasaṃsaṭṭhaputimuttasadisena ¹⁶⁷ dosena padusitacittaṃ. ¹⁶⁸ attano cittenā [56,22] ti attano cetopariyaññāṇena ¹⁶⁹ sabbaññutaññāṇena vā sahitena cittena. paricchinditvā [56,22-23] ti ñāṇena paricchinditvā.

iṭṭhākārena etī ti ayo, sukhaṃ. sabbaso apeto ayo etassa etasmā ti vā apāyo [57,2], kāyikassa cetasikassa ca dukkhassa gati pavattiṭṭhānan ti duggati [57,3], kāraṇavasena¹⁷⁰ vividhapakārena¹⁷¹ ca nipātiyanti etthā ti vinipāto [57,4], appako pi n' atthi ayo sukhaṃ etthā ti nirayo [57,4] ti evam ettha attho veditabbo.

- (4) ¹⁷²saddhāpasādena pasannan [57,5] ti saddhāsankhātena pasādena pasannam, na indriyānam vippasannatāya. ¹⁷³ sukhassa gatin 57.6] ti sukhassa pavattiṭṭhānam. sukham ev' ettha gacchati ¹⁷⁴ na dukhan ti vā sugati [57,6]. manāpiyarūpāditāya saha aggehī ti saggam, lokam ¹⁷⁵ [57,7].
- (5) ¹⁷⁶pariļāhavūpasamakaro rahado etthā ti rahado, udakapuņņo rahado. ¹⁷⁷ udakam rahati ¹⁷⁸ dhāretī ti *udakarahado* [57,8]. ¹⁷⁹ *āvilo* [57,9] ti kalalabahūtāya ¹⁸⁰ ākulo. ten' āha *avippasanno* [57,9] ti. *luļito*

¹⁴¹This paragraph (*upamā va opamam ... ādim āha.*) is in Mp-t replaced with a much longer passage (Mp-t E^e 1998 II 40,1-52,5); the entire text of this addition is given in endnote (1) below (p. 96). This is a major difference between Mp-pt and Mp-t in this chapter. 142= Mp-t v.l.: Mp-t: kanasadiso ¹⁴³= Mp-t v.l.; Mp-t: tunde (other vv.ll. thunde, kunde, phunde) ¹⁴⁴ Mp-t: (-)sūka- (for:(-)suka- (here and below)) 145 Mp-t: tanu-¹⁴⁶Mp E^e: bhindissatī ti ¹⁴⁷Mp-t = Mp E^e: chavim ¹⁴⁸Mp-t: chindissatī ti ¹⁴⁹Mp-t: -ādim; cf. Mp-t v.l.: hatthādī 150 Mp-t adds: dukkhe aññānan ti [Dhs § 1061] ādinā vuttesu. Cf. Dhs §1061: dukkhe aññānam dukkhasamudaye aññānam dukkhanirodhe aññānam dukkhanirodhagāminiyā patipadāya aññānam pubbante aññanam aparante aññanam pubbantaparante aññanam idappaccavata pațiccasamuppannesu dhammesu aññānam ... 151Mp-t: dukkhādīsu catūsu saccesu ¹⁵²Mp-t: -ādīsu catūsu ¹⁵³Mp-t (= Mp E^e): -bahala- (here and below) 154Mp-t: ādīsu 155Cf. Vism-mht Be 1960 I 452, 23-24 156Mp-t omits: *vijjhanti ... -gativijānanena* 157Mp-t: rūlhī h' esā (for: ruli hotī) ¹⁵⁸Mp-t: akkantan t' eva vuttan (for: hatthena — pa — vuttan [= hatthena uppīlitam, rūlhisaddavasena pana akkantam t' eva vuttan])

¹⁵⁹Mp-ţ: aggahetvā 160Mp-ţ: appamattakass' 161Mp-ţ: vivaṭṭū- 162Mp-ţ: vaḍḍhamānaṃ 163Mp-ţ: -nadī 164Mp-ţ: pakkhandamahogho 165Mp-ţ adds: tatiye 166Mp-ţ: dūsanena 167Mp-ţ: -pūti- 168Mp-ţ: padūsita-169Mp-ţ: -pariyañāṇena; adds: attano 170 = Mp-ţ v.l.; Mp-ţ: kāraṇā-171Mp-ţ: vividhaṃ vikārena 172Mp-ţ adds: catutthe 173Mp-ţ: avippasannatāya 174 = Mp-ţ v.l.; Mp-ţ: gacchanti 175 Mp-ţ (= A, Mp Be 1958, Ce 1923): saggaṃ lokaṃ; Mp Ee, Ne 1976: sagga lokaṃ (for: saggaṃ lokaṃ) 176Mp-ţ adds: pañcame 177 = Mp-ţ v.l.; Mp-ţ adds: udakarahado [57.8] 178Mp-ţ: dahati 179 Cf. Mp-ţ: ... udakapuṇṇo rahado udakarahado [57.8]. udakaṃ dahati dhāretī ti udakadaho [57.8]. 180Mp-ţ: -bahulatāya

[57,10] ti vātena ālolito.¹⁸¹ ten' āha *aparisaņṭhito* [57,10] ti. vātābhighātena vicitaraṅgamalasamākulatāya¹⁸² parito na saṇṭhito¹⁸³ aparisaṇṭhito [57,10]. vātābhighātena udakassa ca kalassa ca¹⁸⁴ appabhāvena *kalalībhūto* [57,11] kaddamabhāvapatto¹⁸⁵ ti āha *kaddamībhūto* [57,11] ti.

sippiyo [57.12] muttāsippiyādayo. 186 $sambuk\bar{a}$ [57.12] sankhapannakavisesā. 187

carantam pi tiṭṭhantam pī [57,15] ti yathālābhavacanam etam daṭṭhabbam. tam eva hi yathālābhavacanatam dassetum $etth\bar{a}$ [57,15] ti ādi vuttam. 188 itaram pī [57,20] ti itaram pi dvayam carantam pi titthantam pi vuttam. 189

 $pariyayonaddhen\bar{a}^{190}$ [57,23] ti pațicchāditena. ta-y-idam kāraņena āvilabhāvassa dassanam.

diṭṭhadhamme imasmiṃ attabhāve bhavo diṭṭhadhammiko [57,24], so pana lokiyo pi hoti lokuttaro pī ti āha lokiyalokuttaramissako [57,24-25] ti. pecca sampādetabbato samparāyo [57,25-26], paraloko. ten' āha so hi parattha-attho ti parattho [57,26-58,1] ti. iti dvidhāpi sakasantatipariyāpanno eva gahito ti itaram pi saṅgahetvā dassetuṃ api cā [58,2] ti ādim āha.

ayan [58,6] ti kusalakammapathasankhāto dasavidho dhammo. *satthantarakappāvasāne* [58,7–8] ti idam tassa āsannabhāvam sandhāya vuttam. yassa kassa ci antarakappāvasāne¹⁹¹ ti veditabbam.

ariyānam yuttan [58,11] ti ariyānam ariyabhāvāya yuttam, tato eva ariyabhāvam kātum samattham [58,11–12]. ñāṇam eva ñeyyassa paccakkhakaraṇaṭṭhena dassanan ti āha $nan eva h\bar{\iota}$ [58,13] ti ādi. kim

pana tan ti dibbacakkhuñāṇādi [cf. 58,14-15]. 193

(6) ¹⁹⁴**accho**[58,17] ti tanuko. tanubhāvam eva hi sandhāya *abahalo* [58,17] ti vuttam. yasmā pasanno nāma accho eva¹⁹⁵ na bahalo, tasmā *pasanno*¹⁹⁶ [58,17] ti vuttam. *vippasanno* [58,18] ti visesena pasanno. so pana sammā pasanno nāma hotī ti āha *sutṭhu pasanno* [58,18] ti.

anāvilo [58,19] ti akāluso. 197 ten' āha parisuddho [58,19] ti ādi. sankhakhuddakasevālam, 198 yam:

tilabījakan ti [cf. Abh 690]

pi ¹⁹⁹ vuccati. *sevālan* [58,20] ti kaņņikasevālam. *palākam*²⁰⁰ [58,20] udakamalam.

cittassa āvilabhāvo nivaraņahetuko²⁰¹ ti āha *anāvilenā ti pañca-nīvaraņāvippamuttenā*²⁰² [58,21] ti.

(7) ²⁰³rukkhajātānī [58,25] ti ettha jātasaddena padavanam²⁰⁴ eva kataṃ yathā kosajatan²⁰⁵ [cf. Abh 629-30; 811] ti āha rukkhānam²⁰⁶ etaṃ adhivacanan [58,25] ti.

ko ci hi rukkho vaṇṇena aggo hoti [59,1–2] yathā taṃ rattacandanādi. ko ci gandhena [59,2] yathā taṃ gosisacandanaṃ. 207 ko ci rasena [59,2] khadirādi. ko ci phuṭṭhatāya 208 [59,2] campakādi.

maggaphalāvahatāya vipassanāvasena *bhāvitam* [59,6] pi gahitam. tattha tatth' eva sakkhibhabbatam pāpunāti ti²⁰⁹ [A I 255,1-2] vacanato *abhiññāpādakacatutthajhānacittam*²¹⁰ eva āvuso [59,9-10] ti Phussamittatthero.²¹¹

(8) 212 cittassa parivattanam uppādanirodho 213 evā ti āha e v a m $lahu^{214}$ uppajjitvā lahu nirujjhanakan [59,11–12] ti.

 $^{^{181}}$ Mp-ț: ālolito 182 Mp-ț vīci-, adds: hi 183 Mp-ț adds: vā 184 Mp-ț omits: kalassa ca 185 Mp-ț: -ppatto 186 = Mp-ț v.l.; Mp-ț: -sippi-ādayo; Mp-pț a d d s : ka- 187 Mp-ț: saṅkhasalākavisesā, vv.ll. -salākādayo visesā, saṇkhasevālaka- 188 Mp-ț: āraddham 189 Mp-ț omits: itaram pī [57, 20] ti itaram pi dvayam carantam pi tiṭṭhantam pi vuttam. (Here, itaram pi dvayam refers to sippisambukam and macchagumbam, see A I 9.8–9.) 190 Mp-ț: pariyon- 191 Mp-ț: antarakappass' āvasāne 192 Mp Ee, Be 1958, Ce 1923, Ne 1976 add: vā

 $^{^{193}}$ Mp-ț reads this sentence: kim pana tan ti āha $dibbacakkh\bar{u}$ [58, 14] ti ādi. 194 Mp-ț adds: chațțhe 195 Mp-ț omits 196 Mp-ț adds: ti pi vațțatī 197 Mp-ț: akaluso 198 Mp-ț: sankhan [58,20] ti khuddakasevālam 199 Mp-ț omits 200 = Mp-ț v.l., Mp-ț: paṇakan; adds: ti 201 Mp-ț: nīvaraṇa- 202 Mp-ț (= Mp Ee, Ce 1923): -nīvaraṇavippayuttenā 203 Mp-ț adds: sattame 204 Mp-ț: padavaḍḍhanam 205 Mp-ț: -jātan 206 Mp-ț (= Mp Ee) adds: ev' 207 Mp-ț: gosītacandanam 208 = Mp Be 1958 v.l.; Mp-ț (= Mp Be 1958, Ne 1976): thaddhatāya; Mp Ee: phaṇḍatāya, Ce 1923 thaṇḍatāya 209 Mp-ț: pāpuṇātī ti 210 Mp-ț: -jjhāna- 211 Mp-ț adds: vadati 212 Mp-ț adds: aṭṭhame 213 = Mp-ț v.l.; Mp-ț: uppādanirodhā 214 Mp-ț (= Mp Ee): lahum (here and below)

94

adhimattapamānatthe [59,13] ti atikkantapamānatthe, pamānātitatāyan²¹⁵ ti attho. ten' āha ativiya na sukarā [59,13–14] ti.

cakkhuññānam²¹⁶ pi adhippetam evā [59,18] ti sabbassa pi cittassa samānakhanattā vuttam. cittassa ativiya lahuparivattibhāvam theravādena dipetum²¹⁷ imasmim pan' atthe [59,18-19] ti ādi vuttam. cittasankhārā [59,21] ti sasampayuttam cittam vadati. 218 addhacūlan [59,22] ti thokena ūnam upaddham. kassa pana upaddhan ti. adhikārato vāhassā ti viññāyati, addhacuddasan ti keci, addhacatutthan ti apare. sādhikadiyaddhasatam²¹⁹ vāho²²⁰ ti daļham katvā vadanti, tam²²¹ vīmamsitabbam. catunāliko²²² tumbo [59,23].²²³

pucchāya abhāvenā [60,6] ti sakkā pana bhante upamam²²⁴ kātun [60,5] ti evam pavattāya pucchāya abhāvena na katā [60,6-7] upamā. dhammadesanāpariyosāne [60,7] ti sannipatitaparisāya yathāraddhadhammadesanāya pariyosāne.

(9) ²²⁵pabhassaran</sup> [60,9] ti pariyodātam sabhāvaparisuddhatthena. ten' āha pandaram parisuddhan [60,9] ti. pabhassaratādayo nāma vannadhātuyam labbhamānakavisesā²²⁶ ti āha *kim pana cittassa vanno* nāma atthī ti [60,11]. itaro arūpatāya n' atthī [60,11] ti patikkhipetvā²²⁷ pariyāyakathā ayam tādisassa cittassa parisuddhabhāvaparidīpanāyā²²⁸ ti dassento nilādin²²⁹ [cf. 60,11–12] ti ādim āha. tathā hi:

so evam samāhite citte parisuddhe pariyodāte ti [D I 76,13 foll.]

vuttam. ten' ev' āha idam pi nirupakkilesatāya parisuddhan ti pabhassaran [60,13-14] ti. kim pana bhavangacittam nirupakkilesan ti. āma, sabhāvato nirupakkilesam āgantukam upakkilesam, 230 āgantukaupakkilesavasena pana siyā upakkilittham. ten' āha tañ ca kho [60,15] ti ādi. tattha attano tesañ ca bhikkhūnam paccakkhabhāvato pubbe idan ti vatvā idāni paccāmasanavasena tan [60,15] ti āha. ca-saddo [60,15] atthupanayane.²³¹ **kho**-saddo [60,15] vacanālaṅkāre avadhārane vā. vakkhamānassa atthassa nicchitabhāvato²³² bhavangacittena sahāvatthānābhāvato upakkilesānam āgantukatā ti āha asahajātehī [60,16] ti ādi.

rāgādayo upecca cittasantānam kilisanti²³³ vibādhenti upatāpenti cā ti āha *upakkilesehī* ti rāgādīhī [60,18] ti. bhavangacittassa nippariyāyato upakkilesehi upakkilitthatā nāma n' atthi asamsatthabhāvato, ekasantatipariyāpannatāya pana siyā upakkilitthatāpariyāyato²³⁴ ti āha upakkiliṭṭhaṃ nāmā ti vuccatī²³⁵ [60,19] ti. idāni tam attham upamāva vibhāvitum²³⁶ vathā hī [60,20] ti ādim āha. tena bhinnasantānagatāva pi nāma iriyāya loke gārayhatā patidissati, ²³⁷ pageva ekasantānagatāya iriyāyā ti imam visesam dasseti. ten' āha javanakkhane — pa²³⁸ upakkilittham nāma hotī [60,28-61,2] ti.

(10) ²³⁹bhavangacittam eva cittan [61,3] ti pabhassaram idam **bhikkhave cittan** ti vuttam bhavangacittam eva cittam. ²⁴⁰ yadaggena bhavangacittam tādisapaccayasamavāye upakkilittham nāmā ti²⁴¹ vuccati, tadaggena tabbidhurapaccayasamavāye upakkilesato vippamuttan²⁴² ti vuccati. ten' āha *upakkilesehi vippamuttam nāma hotī*

²¹⁵Mp-t: -ātīta- ²¹⁶Mp-t (= Mp E^e): cakkhuviññānam ²¹⁷Mp-t: dīpetum ²¹⁸Here Mp-t adds a passage in which *vāhasatānam kho mahārāja vīhīnam* [Mp I 59, 22 = Mp B^e 1958, C^e 1923, N^e 1976] is discussed (cf. Mp-t II 58,5-11). For details, see endnote (2) below. ²¹⁹Mp-t: sādhikam diyaddhasatam ²²⁰= Mp-t v.l.; Mp-t: vāhā 221 Mp-t omits 222 Mp-t: catunāliko 223 Cf. Mil-t 22, 23-26 (ad Mil 102,1-14): ettha sād[h]ikadiya[d]dhavāhāsatam thokena ud[dh?]am upa[d]dhavāhā-satassa patanālike tumbo ti Angutta[ra]tīkā vuttā, a[d]dhacūlan ti vāhassa tassa a[d]dhādhikā vāhavi(ī)hī ti vattum vattati yeva; cf. also Mil-t 23, 4 foll. Mil-t 22, n. 7 cites Mp V 61,21-62,2, but Mp-t Be 1961 III 349,9-17, which comments upon this passage, is different from the above citation in Mil-t ascribed to Anguttara-tīkā. On measures in Pāli see also Bhikkhu Ñānamoli, 1994, pp. 140-41. 224Mp Ee: upamā 225Mp-t adds: navame 226Mp-t: labbhanakavisesā ²²⁷Mp-t: -pitvā ²²⁸Mp-t: parisuddhabhāvanādīpanāyā 229 Mp-t (= Mp E^e): nīlādīnan [60,11-12]

²³⁰Mp-t omits: āgantukam upakkilesam ²³¹Mp-t: atthūpanayane ²³²C1: nicayita- ²³³Mp-t kilesenti; vv.ll.: kilesanti, kilissanti ²³⁴Mp-t: -parivāvo ²³⁵Cf. Mp E^e, C^e 1923: ... rāgādīhi. upakilitthan ti upakkilittham nāmā ti vuccati; Mp Be 1958, Ne 1976: ... rāgādīhi upakkilitthattā ti upakkilittham nāmā ti vuccati ²³⁶Mp-t; vibhāvetum ²³⁷ = Mp-t v.l.; Mp-t dissati ²³⁸Mp-t; pe 239 Mp-t adds: dasame 240 Mp-t omits 241 Mp-t: nāma 242 = Mp-t v.l.; Mp-t: vimuttan

97

[61,6-7] ti. sesam ettha navamasutte vuttanayānusārena veditabbam.

Anatthavaggo pañcamo.²⁴²

Primoz Pecenko

ENDNOTES:

(1) [See Part II, 2, p. 90, n. 140 above]

pañcamassa pathame upamā va opammam, so eva attho opammattho,²⁴³ tasmim *opammatthe* [55,1] bodhetabbe *nipāto* [55,1]. seyyathā pī [55,1] ti yathā ti attho. ettha ca:

tatra bhagavā kattha ci atthena upamam parivāretvā dasseti Vatthasutte viya, Pāricchattakopama-Aggikkhandhopamādisuttesu viya ca. kattha ci upamāya attham parivāretvā dasseti Lonambilasutte viya Suvannakārasatta²⁴⁴-Suriyopamādisuttesu²⁴⁵ viya ca. imasmim pana sālisūkopame upamāya attham parivāretvā dassento: seyyathāpi bhikkhave ti ādim āhā ti [Mp Ee I 55,2-8]

potthakesu likhanti, tam Majjhimatthakathaya Vatthasuttavannanāya na sameti. tattha hi idam vuttam:

seyyathā pi bhikkhave vatthan ti bhikkhave yathā vattham, upamāvacanam ev' etam. upamam karonto ca bhagavā kattha ci pathamam yeva upamam²⁴⁶ dassetvā pacchā attham dasseti, kattha ci pathamam attham dassetvā pacchā upamam, kattha ci upamāya attham parivāretvā dasseti, kattha ci atthena upamam. tathā h' esa:

seyyathā pi-ssu²⁴⁷ bhikkhave dve agārā sadvārā, tattha cakkhumā puriso majjhe thito passeyyā ti [M III 178,21-22]

sakalam pi Devadūtasuttam upamam pathamam dassetvā pacchā attham dassento āha.

tirokuddam tiropākāram tiropabbatam asajjamāno gacchati seyyathā pi ākāse ti [D I 78,3-4]

ādinā pana nayena sakalam pi iddhividham attham pathamam dassetvā pacchā upamam dassento āha.

seyyathā pi brāhmana puriso sāratthiko sāragavesī ti [M I 198,20] ādinā nayena sakalam pi Cūlasāropamasuttam²⁴⁸ upamāya attham parivāretvā dassento āha.

idha pana bhikkhave ekacce kulaputtā dhammam pariyāpuņanti suttam ... pe ... seyyathā pi bhikkhave puriso alagaddatthiko ti [M I 134,5-16]

ādinā nayena sakalam pi Alagaddasuttam Mahāsāropamasuttan ti evam ādīni suttāni atthena upamam parivāretvā dassento āha. svāyam idha pathamam upamam dassetvā pacchā attham dassetī ti. [cf. Ps I 165,28-66,18]

ettha hi Cūlasāropamādīsu pathamam upamam vatvā tadanantaram upameyyattham vatvā puna upamam vadanto: upamāya attham parivāretvā dassetī ti vutto. Alagaddasuttādīsu²⁴⁹ pana attham pathamam vatvā tadanantaram upamam vatvā puna attham vadanto: atthena upamam parivāretvā dassetī ti vutto. tena Vatthasutta-Līnatthappakāsiniyam vuttam:

upameyyattham pathamam²⁵⁰ vatvā tadanantaram attham vatvā puna upamam vadanto:

"upamāya attham parivāretvā dassetī" [Ps I 166,2] ti vutto.

"atthena upamam parivāretvā" [cf. Ps I 166,2-3] ti etthāpi es' eva nayo ti. [cf. Ps-pt Be 1961 I 268,19-21]²⁵¹

idha pana kattha ci atthena upamam parivāretvā dasseti Vatthasutte viya Pāricchattakopama-Aggikkhandhopamādisuttesu viya cā [55,2-4] ti vuttam.

²⁴²Mp-t; Panihita-acchavaggavannanā nitthitā; Mp E^e; Pañcamo vaggo; A; Panihita-acchanna-vaggo pañcamo (vv. ll.: Vaggo pañcamo, Panihita-acchavaggo pañcamo) ²⁴³Mp-t v.l. omits ²⁴⁴Mp-t so; Mp Ee, Be 1958, Ce 1923, Ne ²⁴⁵Mp: -Suriyopamādisu suttesu ²⁴⁶Mp-t so; Ps E^e: upamam pathamam yeva for: pathamam yeva upamam; Mp-t v.l.: upamam yeva for: yeva upamam 247 Mp-t so; M: pi; Ps: p' assu

²⁴⁸Mp-t so; Ps: Culla- ²⁴⁹Mp-t Be 1958: Alagaddūpamasuttādīsu Be 1961 (= Mp-t v.l.) adds: upamam 251Ps-pt Be 1961 I 268,19-21 reads: "atthan" ti upamiyattham, pathamam upamam vatvā tadanantaram attham vatvā puna upamam vadanto: "upamāya attham parivāretvā dassetī" ti. "atthena upamam parivāretvā" ti etthāpi es' eva nayo. In Mp-t atthena upamam parivāretvā is taken as a citation from Mp I 55, 2, but this is clearly a citation from Ps I 166,2-3 where the reading is atthena upamam and parivāretvā is implied.

tattha Vatthasutte tāva:

seyyathā pi bhikkhave vattham sankiliṭṭham malaggahitam, tam enam rajako yasmim yasmim rangajāte upasamhareyya, yadi nīlakāya, yadi pītakāya, yadi lohitakāya, yadi mañjiṭṭhakāya, durattavaṇṇam ev' assa, aparisuddhavaṇṇam ev' assa. tam kissa hetu. aparisuddhattā bhikkhave vatthassa. evam eva kho bhikkhave citte sankiliṭṭhe duggati pāṭikankhā ti [M I 36,15-21]

ādinā paṭhamaṃ upamaṃ dassetvā pacchā upameyyattho vutto; na pana paṭhamam atthaṃ vatvā tadanantaraṃ upamaṃ dassetvā puna attho vutto, yena kattha ci atthena upamaṃ parivāretvā dasseti Vatthasutte viyā [55,2-3] ti vadeyya.

tathā Pāricchattakopame pi:

yasmim bhikkhave samaye devānam Tāvatimsānam pāricchattako koviļāro paņdupalāso hoti, attamanā bhikkhave devā Tāvatimsā tasmim samaye honti: paņdupalāso dāni pāricchattako koviļāro, na cirass' eva dāni pannapalāso²⁵² bhavissati ... pe ... evam eva kho bhikkhave yasmim samaye ariyasāvako agārasmā anagāriyam pabbajjāya ceteti, paņdupalāso bhikkhave ariyasāvako tasmim samaye hotī ti [A IV 117,5–18,16]

ādinā paṭhamaṃ upamaṃ dassetvā pacchā attho vutto.

Aggikkhandhopame:

passatha no tumhe bhikkhave amum mahantam aggikkhandham ādittam sampajjalitam sajotibhūtan ti. evam bhante ti. tam kim maññatha bhikkhave katamam nu kho varam yam amum mahantam aggikkhandham ādittam sampajjalitam sajotibhūtam ālingetvā upanisīdeyya vā upanipajjeyya vā, yam khattiyakaññam vā brāhmanakaññam vā gahapatikaññam vā mudutalunahatthapādam ālingetvā upanisīdeyya vā upanipajjeyya vā ti [A IV 128,7-15]

ādinā paṭhamaṃ upamaṃ yeva dassetvā pacchā attho vutto, na pana paṭhamaṃ atthaṃ vatvā tadanantaraṃ upamaṃ dassetvā puna attho vutto. tasmā kattha ci atthena upamaṃ parivāretvā dasseti Vatthasutte viya Pāricchattakopama-Aggikkhandhopamādisuttesu viya cā [55,2-4] ti na vattabbam.

keci pan' ettha evam vannayanti:

attham paṭhamam vatvā pacchā ca²⁵³ upamam dassento atthena upamam parivāretvā dasseti [55,2] nāma, upamam pana paṭhamam vatvā pacchā attham dassento upamāya attham parivāretvā dasseti [55,4–5] nāma, tadubhayassa pi āgataṭṭhānam nidassento Vatthasutte viyā [55,3] ti ādim āhā ti. [cf. Anatthavagga (Mp-pṭ), par. (1) above²⁵⁴]

tam pi kattha ci atthena upamam parivāretvā dasseti Vatthasutte viya Pāricchattakopama-Aggikkhandhopamādisuttesu viya cā [55,2-4] ti vattabbam, evañ ca vuccamāne kattha ci upamāya attham parivāretvā dasseti Loṇambilasutte viyā [55,4-5] ti visum na vattabbam Aggikkhandhopamādisuttesu viyā [55,3-4] ti ettha ādisadden' eva saṅgahitattā.

Lonambilasutte pi hi:

seyyathā pi bhikkhave paṇḍito byatto²⁵⁵ kusalo sūdo rājānaṃ vā rājamahāmattaṃ vā nānaccayehi²⁵⁶ sūpehi paccupaṭṭhito assa ambilaggehi pi tittakaggehi pi kaṭukaggehi pi madhuraggehi pi khārikehi pi akhārikehi pi loṇikehi pi aloṇikehi pi.

sa kho so bhikkhave paṇḍito byatto kusalo sūdo sakassa bhattassa nimittaṃ uggaṇhāti: idaṃ vā me ajja bhattasūpeyyaṃ ruccati, imassa vā abhiharati, imassa vā bahuṃ gaṇhāti, imassa vā vaṇṇaṃ bhāsati. ambilaggaṃ vā me ajja bhattasūpeyyaṃ ruccati, ambilaggassa vā abhiharati, ambilaggassa vā bahuṃ gaṇhāti, ambilaggassa vā vaṇṇaṃ bhāsati ... pe ... aloṇikassa vā vaṇṇaṃ bhāsatī ti.

sa kho so bhikkhave paṇḍito byatto kusalo sūdo lābhī c' eva hoti acchādanassa, lābhī vetanassa, lābhī abhihārānaṃ. taṃ kissa hetu. tathā hi so bhikkhave paṇḍito byatto kusalo sūdo sakassa bhattanimittaṃ uggaṇhāti.

evam eva kho bhikkhave idh' ekacco pandito byatto kusalo

 $^{^{252}}$ Mp-ț vv.ll.: sinarāpalāso, sītapalāso, khīṇapalāso, chinnapalāso; A: sattapalāso (Mp IV 58.3: sannapalāso ti patitapalāso)

²⁵³Mp-ṭ so; Mp-ṭ v.l. (= Mp-pṭ) omits ²⁵⁴This passage, here ascribed to *keci*, is clearly a citation from Mp-pṭ; see the beginning of Anatthavaggo pañcamo above. ²⁵⁵Mp-ṭ v.l.: viyatto (here and below) ²⁵⁶Mp-ṭ v.l.: nānaggarasehi

IOI

bhikkhu kāye kāyānupassī viharati ... pe ... vedanāsu ... pe ... citte ... pe ... dhammesu dhammanupassī viharati ātāpī sampajāno satimā vineyya loke abhijihādomanassam. tassa dhammesu dhammānupassino viharato cittam samādhiyati, upakkilesā pahīyanti. so tam nimittam ugganhāti.

Primoz Pecenko

sa kho bhikkhave pandito byatto kusalo bhikkhu lābhī c' eva hoti ditth' eva dhamme sukhavihārānam, lābhī hoti satisampajaññassa. tam kissa hetu. tathā hi so bhikkhave paņdito byatto kusalo bhikkhu sakassa cittassa nimittam ugganhātī ti. [S V 151,5-52,10]

evam pathamam upamam dassetvā pacchā attho vutto.

Suvannakāra-Suriyopamādisuttesu viya cā [cf. 55,5-6] ti idañ ca udāharanamattena sangaham gacchati Suvannakārasuttādīsu pathamam upamāya adassitattā. etesu hi Suvannakāropamasutte tāva:

adhicittam anuyuttena bhikkhave bhikkhunā tīni nimittāni kālena kālam manasi kātabbāni, kālena kālam samādhinimittam manasi kātabbam, kālena kālam paggahanimittam manasi kātabbam, kālena kālam upekkhānimittam manasi kātabbam.

sace bhikkhave adhicittam anuyutto bhikkhu ekantam samādhinimittam yeva manasi kareyya, thanam tam cittam kosajjaya samvatteyya. sace bhikkhave adhicittam anuyutto bhikkhu ekantam paggahanimittam yeva manasi kareyya, thanam tam cittam uddhaccaya samvatteyya. sace bhikkhave adhicittam anuyutto bhikkhu ekantam upekkhānimittam yeva manasi kareyya, thānam tam cittam na sammā samādhiyeyya āsavānam khayāya. yato ca kho bhikkhave adhicittam anuyutto bhikkhu kālena kālam samādhinimittam ... pe ... paggahanimittam ... pe ... upekkhānimittam manasi karoti, tam hoti cittam muduñ ca kammaniyañ²⁵⁷ ca pabhassarañ ca, na ca pabhangu, sammā samādhiyati āsavānam khayāya.

seyyathā pi bhikkhave suvannakāro vā suvannakārantevāsī vā ukkam bandhati, ukkam bandhitvā ukkāmukham ālimpeti, ukkāmukham ālimpetvā sandāsena jātarūpam gahetvā ukkāmukhe

pakkhipitvā kālena kālam abhidhamati, kālena kālam udakena paripphoseti, kālena kālam ajjhupekkhati. sace bhikkhave suvaņņakāro vā suvannakārantevāsī vā tam jātarūpam ekantam abhidhameyya, thānam tam jātarūpam daheyya. sace bhikkhave suvannakāro vā suvannakārantevāsī vā tam jātarūpam ekantam udakena paripphoseyya, thānam tam jātarūpam nibbāpeyya. 258 sace bhikkhave suvannakāro vā suvannakārantevāsī vā tam jātarūpam ekantam ajjhupekkheyya, thānam tam jātarūpam na sammā paripākam gaccheyya. yato ca kho bhikkhave suvannakāro vā suvannakārantevāsī vā tam jātarūpam kālena kālam abhidhamati, kālena kālam udakena paripphoseti, kālena kālam ajjhupekkhati, tam hoti jātarūpam muduñ ca kammaniyañ ca pabhassarañ ca, na ca pabhangu, sammā upeti kammāya. yassā yassā ca pilandhanavikatiyā ākankhati, yadi pattikāya yadi kundalāya yadi gīveyyakena²⁵⁹ yadi suvannamālāya, tañ c' assa attham anubhoti.

evam eva kho bhikkhave adhicittam anuyuttena bhikkhunā ... pe ... sammā samādhiyati āsavānam khayāya. yassa yassa ca abhiññāsacchikaranīyassa dhammassa cittam abhininnāmeti abhiññā-sacchikiriyāya, tatra tatr' eva sakkhibhabbatam pāpunāti sati sati āyatane ti. [A I 256,29 - 58,15]

evam pathamam attham dassetvā tadanantaram upamam vatvā puna pi attho vutto.

sattasuriyopame ca:

aniccā bhikkhave sankhārā, adhuvā bhikkhave sankhārā, anassāsikā bhikkhave sankhārā, yāvan c' idam bhikkhave alam eva sabbasankhāresu nibbinditum alam virajjitum alam vimuccitum. Sineru bhikkhave pabbatarājā caturāsītiyojanasahassāni āyāmena caturāsītiyojanasahassāni vitthārena caturāsītiyojanasahassāni mahāsamudde ajjhogālho caturāsītiyojanasahassāni mahāsamuddā accuggato. hoti so kho²⁶⁰ bhikkhave samayo, yam kadā ci karaha ci dīghassa addhuno accavena²⁶¹ bahūni vassāni bahūni vassasatāni bahūni vassasahassāni bahūni vassasatasahassāni devo na vassati, deve kho pana bhikkhave

²⁵⁷A: kammanīyañ

²⁵⁸A v.l.: nibbāyeyya ²⁵⁹A: gīveyyake ²⁶⁰A: kho so (for: so kho) ²⁶¹A: (= Mp-t v.l.) omits: kadā ci karaha ci dīghassa addhuno accayena

avassante ye keci 'me bījagāmabhūtagāmā²⁶² osadhitiṇavanappatayo, te ussussanti visussanti²⁶³ na bhavanti. evam aniccā bhikkhave saṅkhārā, evam adhuvā bhikkhave saṅkhārā, evam anassāsikā bhikkhave saṅkhārā ti [A IV 100,5–18]

ādinā paṭhamaṃ atthaṃ dassetvā tadanantaraṃ upamaṃ vatvā puna pi attho vutto.

atha vā:264

suriyassa bhikkhave udayato etam pubbangamam etam pubbanimittam, yad idam arunuggam. evam eva kho bhikkhave bhikkhuno ariyassa aṭṭhangikassa maggassa uppādāya etam pubbangamam etam pubbanimittam, yad idam kalyānamittatā ti [S V 29,27–30,3]

yad etaṃ Saṃyuttanikāye āgataṃ, taṃ idha Suriyopamasuttan ti adhippetaṃ siyā. tam pi kattha ci upamāya atthaṃ parivāretvā dassetī [55.4-5] ti iminā na sameti paṭhamaṃ upamaṃ vatvā tadanantaraṃ atthaṃ dassetvā puna upamāya avuttattā. paṭhamam eva hi tattha upamā dassitā, imasmiṃ pana sālisūkopame upamāya atthaṃ parivāretvā dassento seyyathā pi bhikkhave ti ādim āhā [55,7-8] ti idam pi vacanam asaṅgahitaṃ Vatthasuttassa imassa ca visesābhāvato. ubhayatthāpi hi paṭhamaṃ upamaṃ dassetvā pacchā attho vutto, tasmā evam ettha pāṭhena bhavitabbaṃ:

tatra bhagavā kattha ci paṭhamaṃ yeva upamaṃ²⁶⁵ dassetvā pacchā atthaṃ dasseti Vatthasutte viya Pāricchattakopama-Aggikkhandhopamādisuttesu viya ca, kattha ci atthena upamaṃ parivāretvā dasseti Suvaṇṇakāra-Sattasuriyopamādisuttesu viya, imasmiṃ pana sālisūkopame paṭhamaṃ upamaṃ dassetvā pacchā atthaṃ dassento seyyathā pi bhikkhave ti ādim āhā ti. [cf. Mp I 55,2–8]

aññathā Majjhimaṭṭhakathāya [Ps I 165,28-66,18] virujjhati. idhāpi ca pubbenāparaṃ na sameti. Majjhimaṭṭhakathāya vuttanayen' eva vā idhāpi pāṭho gahetabbo. [cf. Mp-ṭ II 40,1-52,5]

(2) [see Part II, 2, p. 94, n. 217 above]

vāhasatānam²⁶⁶ kho mahārāja vīhīnan [59,22] ti potthakesu likhanti.

vāhasatam kho mahārāja vīhīnan ti [Mil 102,10-11; cf. Mil-ţ 22,19-26]

pana pāṭhena bhavitabbaṃ. Milindapañhe pi hi kattha ci ayam eva pāṭho dissati. $v\bar{a}hasat\bar{a}nan$ [59,22] ti vā paccatte sāmivacanaṃ byattayena vuttan ti daṭṭhabbaṃ. [cf. Mp-ṭ II 58,5-11]

3. Mp-pt and Mp-t: Differences and similarities

The above three chapters from Mp-pt and their parallels from Mp-t are relatively short and final conclusions will be drawn only after a critical edition of the entire manuscript of Mp-pt is completed. However, the differences and similarities between the two $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ nevertheless seem to agree to a great extent with the description of the old and later $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ in Saddhamma-s.²⁶⁷

Although the texts from Mp-pt and Mp-t given in Part II, 2 are sometimes identical or very similar, the later $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ (Mp-t) is in many respects very different from the old one (Mp-pt). As stated above (Part II, 1) the text on the first few folios of the newly discovered manuscript of Mp-pt is exactly the same (with minor orthographic differences) as in the other three "old" $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ (Sv-pt, Ps-pt, Spk-pt) and in this respect differs considerably from Mp-t. This is a very strong indication that the manuscript of Mp-pt discussed here really belongs to the old $L\bar{t}natthappak\bar{a}sin\bar{t}$ set. The later $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ (Mp-t) has several additions, corrections or omissions.

In Mp-t three kinds of additions can be found:

(I) Some additions are used to clarify the structure of the text; such additions are usually in the beginning of the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ on a particular *sutta* from a particular *vagga* where the numbers of that *sutta* and *vagga* are

 $^{^{262}}$ A: -bhūtagāma- 263 A: vissussanti 264 Mp-ṭ omits 265 Mp-ṭ v.l.: upamaṃ yeva (for: yeva upamam)

²⁶⁶ = Mp E^e, B^e 1958, C^e 1923, N^e 1976; Mil E^e, B^e 1982, N^e 1979 (= Mp N^e v.l.): vāhasatam

²⁶⁷See Part I, 1.1 above (especially nn. 18–21).

added. For example, in Part II, p. 87, n. 90, where in Mp-t tatiyassa [vaggassa] paṭhame [sutte] is added before abhāvitan ti. 268

- (2) Some additions are further clarifications of already existing explanations. 269
- (3) Some additions are explanations of additional words from Mp that are not included in Mp-pt.²⁷⁰

Among the corrections²⁷¹ of the old $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ (Mp-pt) found in Mp-t the most important is a long passage²⁷² that thoroughly analyses and corrects both the Mp-pt (the first paragraph of Anatthavagga)²⁷³ and a passage from Mp that the old $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ (Mp-pt) comments upon. At the end it also suggests a better reading for the passage from Mp²⁷⁴ which the old $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ (Mp-pt) does not explain properly. This correction is much longer than the first paragraph of Anatthavagga that it replaces. It is very interesting to note that Mp-t cites, among many canonical and postcanonical texts, including Ps and Ps-pt, also the first paragraph of Anatthavagga from Mp-pt (i.e. the passage that it replaces) and introduces it with: keci pan' ettha evam vaṇṇayanti.²⁷⁵ This is very significant because Ps-pt, for example, is introduced with: tena Vatthasutta-Līnatthappakāsini-yaṃ vuttaṃ,²⁷⁶ but a passage from Mp-pt — another $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ from the same Līnatthapakāsinī set — is simply ascribed to "some" (keci). Sāriputta of

Polonnaruva, to whom Mp-t is ascribed,²⁷⁷ obviously considered this passage from Mp-pt to be one of the versions maintained by "some" (*keci*).²⁷⁸

In Mp-t certain passages from Mp-pt are omitted; some of these passages²⁷⁹ should perhaps be included in Mp-t and the reasons for their omission are not clear. However, they do not seem to be as significant as the additions and corrections discussed above.

The above comparison shows that the later $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}$ (Mp-t) is better organized ($an\bar{a}kula$) and more comprehensive (paripunna) than the old one (Mp-pt).²⁸⁰

Conclusion

From the above discussion of the $nik\bar{a}ya$ - $t\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}s$, their manuscripts and printed editions — with special emphasis on the two Anguttara- $t\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}s$ (Mp-pt and Mp-t; see Part I, 2.2 and Part II) — we can conclude that it is most probable that two different sets of $nik\bar{a}ya$ - $t\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}s$ were in fact compiled: the older set called $L\bar{\imath}natthapak\bar{a}sin\bar{\imath}$ (Sv-pt, Ps-pt, Spk-pt, Mp-pt) and the later set called $S\bar{a}ratthama\tilde{n}j\bar{u}s\bar{a}$ (Sv-t, Ps-t, Spk-t, Mp-t). Although the two complete sets are mentioned only in Saddhamma-s (and in the much later CPD, see Part I, Table I), all the eight $t\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}s$ from the two sets seem to still exist (see Part I, Table II) either in printed editions (Sv-pt, Ps-pt, Spk-pt, Mp-t, see Part I, 2.1) or in manuscript form (Sv-t, Ps-t, Spk-t, Mp-pt, see Part I, 2.2-3). The manuscripts of Sv-t, Ps-t, Spk-t and Mp-pt discussed in Part I, 2.2-3²⁸¹

²⁶⁸Similarly also Part II, nn. 98, 101, 113, 127, etc. Such additions are very common in Mp-t — and this is also perhaps one of the reasons why in Saddhamma-s the later *tīkās* are described as "clear, not confused" (*anākula*).

²⁶⁹See, for example, Part II, 2, nn. 150–51; also nn. 177–79.

²⁷⁰See Part II, 2, n. 218 and endnote (2); this addition is obviously explaining another "version" (*bhāsantara*?) of Mil cited in Mp.

²⁷¹See Part II, 2, nn. 94, 141, 179, 193.

²⁷²Part II, 2, p. 96, endnote (I) = Mp-t II 40, 1-52, 5.

²⁷³See Part II, 2, n. 141, and endnote (1).

²⁷⁴Cf. Mp E^e I 55,2-8 and the corrected version of this passage at the end of endnote (1) in Part II, 2.

²⁷⁵Mp-t II 55,2-8, cf. Part II, 2, n. 141 and endnote (1).

²⁷⁶Mp-t II 42,10.

²⁷⁷Pecenko, 1997, pp. 165–66; HPL, p. 173, § 375.

²⁷⁸Cf. Saddhamma-s 61,13-14; Sp-t Be 1960 29-10.

²⁷⁹See Part II, 2, nn. 119, 129, 130, 189.

²⁸⁰This comparison is of course very limited and it is not clear how "incomplete" (aparipuṇṇa) the original Mp-pṭ actually was. The Burmese manuscript of Mp-pṭ discussed above contains only the first three nipātas with many longer omissions (see Part I, 2.2 and Part II, 1) and the manuscript listed in Piṭ-sm (1989) nos. 199–201 also contains the first three nipātas only (see Part I, 1.6).

²⁸¹Although all the manuscripts of three later $nik\bar{a}ya$ - $t\bar{i}k\bar{a}s$ (Sv-t, Ps-t, Spk-t) are

have never been investigated and it seems that they have been neglected by both the Theravāda tradition²⁸² and modern Pāli scholarship.²⁸³

held in Sri Lanka (see Part I, 2.3), there is among them also a Burmese manuscript of Ps-t (LPP, vol. I, p. 71, temple no. 326) which indicates that these tīkās were used in Burma as well.

It is possible that more manuscripts of these *tīkās* are still extant, most probably in Theravāda countries. According to U Nyunt Maung, Manuscript Consultant, Universities Historical Research Centre, University of Rangoon, "there are still many uncatalogued manuscripts of Pāli *tīkās* in temple libraries in Burma" (personal communication, Rangoon, December 1999).

²⁸²It is not made explicit why certain tīkās (Sv-t, Ps-t, Spk-t, Mp-pt) were ignored by the Theravāda tradition (see e.g. Chatthasangāyana editions) and only some (Sv-pt, Ps-pt, Spk-pt, Mp-t) were published — in spite of the fact that the manuscripts of the unpublished tīkās are held in different libraries in Burma and Sri Lanka and according to the introduction in the Chatthasangāyana editions "all the existing tīkās" were collected and compared (see n. 80 above). In the Nidānakathā of Mp-t Be 1961 (p. ca) it is clearly stated that all the existing tīkās in Burma and outside Burma were edited and published:

evam sangītim āropitassa pana tepiṭakassa buddhavacanassa atthasamvannanābhūtā yā ca aṭṭhakathāyo samvijjanti yā ca tāsam atthappakāsanavasena pavattā ṭīkāyo samvijjanti manoramāya tantinayānucchavikāya bhāsāya **ācariy' Ānanda-ācariya-Dhammapālā**dīhi theravarehi katā,

tāsam pi aṭṭhakathāṭīkānaṃ sadesīyamūlehi c' eva videsīyamūlehi ca saṃsanditvā tepiṭakassa viya buddhavacanassa visodhanapaṭivisodhanavasena mahātherā pāvacanadassino saṃvaṇṇanākovidā pāṭhasodhanam akaṃsu,

icc evam aṭṭhakathāṭīkāyo pamādakhalitādhikaparibhaṭṭhapāṭhānam nirākaraṇavasena visodhitā c' eva paṭivisodhitā ca hutvā Buddhasāsanamuddaṇayantālaye samappitā suṭṭhu muddāpanāya.

This contradicts the information about the manuscripts of the *nikāya-tīkās* discussed above (see Part I, Table II). If the Chaṭṭhasaṅgāyana edited "all the existing [*nikāya*] ṭīkās" (yā ca tāsaṃ atthappakāsanavasena pavattā ṭīkāyo saṃvijjanti) "originating from Burma and from outside" (sadesīya-mūlehi c' eva videsīyamūlehi ca saṃsanditvā), why were the manuscripts of Sv-t, Ps-t, Spk-t and Mp-pt omitted? Further research is needed here.

²⁸³Modern Pāli scholarship seems to agree to some extent with the Theravāda tradition (i.e. the Chaṭṭhasaṅgāyana editions) that most probably only one set of *nikāya-ṭīkās* (i.e. Sv-pṭ, Ps-pṭ, Spk-pṭ and Mp-ṭ) still exists at present.

My recent discovery of a manuscript of the old Anguttara-ṭīkā, Catutthā Līnattha-pakāsinī (Mp-pṭ, see Part I, 2.2 and Part II) throws new light on the development of the nikāya-ṭīkās and also on the Pāli bibliographic information about them. According to Saddhamma-s (see Part I, 1.1) the old nikāya-ṭīkās were "incomplete" (aparipuṇṇa) and had to be replaced by the later set of ṭīkās (Sāratthamañjūsā) which were "comprehensive" (paripuṇṇa) and "clear, not confused" (anākula). The comparison of three parallel chapters from Mp-pṭ and Mp-ṭ in Part II, 2 indicates that the description of the old and the later ṭīkās in Saddhamma-s is fairly accurate (see Part II, 3). This is a further indication that the information about the two different sets of nikāya-ṭīkās in Saddhamma-s is most probably correct.

In the light of the above discussion we can further conclude that the information about the *nikāya-ṭīkās* in all the other Pāli bibliographic sources seems to be less accurate than in Saddhamma-s. Although some of these sources (Pagan inscription, Gv, *Piṭ-sm* (1989)) mention the old *Anguttara-ṭīkā* (Mp-pṭ, see Part I, Table I), none of them mentions two complete sets of *nikāya-ṭīkās* (cf. Part I, Table II).

The information about the $t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ on the four $nik\bar{a}yas$ in modern Pāli scholarship is mostly based on the Pāli bibliographical works, on the existing printed editions, and rarely also on the catalogues²⁸⁴ of Pāli manuscripts. Since we have, as shown above, printed editions of only one "combined" set of $nik\bar{a}ya-t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ (i.e. Sv-pt, Ps-pt, Spk-pt, Mp-t), it is often assumed that only one set of $nik\bar{a}ya-t\bar{t}k\bar{a}s$ exists at present and that most probably only one complete set was composed. This approach is sometimes also supported by references from the later bibliographic works (e.g. Sās), which are sometimes considered more reliable than the earlier ones (e.g. Saddhamma-s). However, in the case of the two sets of

Cf. Part I, Table II above; *HPL*, p. 167, §357; p. 173, §§375-376; A.P. Buddhadatta, *Pāļisāhityaya* (Ambalaṃgoḍa: Ānanda Potsamāgama, 1956), Vol. 1, pp. 259–62; Godakumbura 1980, p. xxvii, n. 1.

²⁸⁴For example, in Geiger 1956, §31 (literature), nn. 5–6, Fausböll's "Catalogue of the Madalay MSS. in the India Office Library", *JPTS* 1894–96, is cited.

nikāya-ṭīkās discussed above — especially considering Sv-ṭ, Ps-ṭ, Spk-ṭ and Mp-pṭ, which are usually mentioned as lost or non-existent — the information in the oldest bibliographic source (Saddhamma-s) appears to be the most reliable of all (cf. Part I, Tables I–II).

The above analysis of the $nik\bar{a}ya$ - $t\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}s$ and their manuscripts and printed editions clearly indicates that further research about the Pāli sub-commentaries and their bibliographic information needs to be done. It is possible that more manuscripts of the less known $nik\bar{a}ya$ - $t\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}s$ (i.e. Sv-t, Ps-t, Spk-t, Mp-pt) are held in various temple libraries in the Theravāda countries. These $t\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}s$ are an important link in Pāli textual transmission and their further investigation may give us — among many other things — new information about the development of the $t\bar{\imath}k\bar{a}$ literature and about the editions and versions of the canonical and post-canonical Pāli texts used at the time of their compilation. ²⁸⁵

Primoz Pecenko Brisbane

I would also like to thank Mr Peter Skilling, Curator, Fragile Palm Leaves project, for sending me a photocopy of a Burmese manuscript of Aṅguttara-ṭīkā (Mp-ṭ). My thanks are also due to the Department of Studies in Religion, University of Queensland, for continuing support of my research of Pāli texts.

REFERENCES

- Bangchang, Supaphan na. 1981. A Critical Edition of the Mūlapariyāyavagga of Majjhimanikāya-aṭṭhakathāṭīkā. Ph.D. diss., Univ. of Peradeniya.
- Bechert, H. 1966. Buddhismus, Staat und Gesellschaft, Vol. I. Frankfurt: Alfred Metzner.
- ----, et al. 1979. Burmese Manuscripts, Part I. Verzeichnis der orientalischen Handschriften in Deutschland, Band XXIII, 1. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner.
- Braun, H., et al. 1985. *Burmese Manuscripts*, Part II. Verzeichnis der orientalischen Handschriften in Deutschland, Band XXIII, 2. Stuttgart: Franz Steiner.
- Braun, H., et al. 1996. *Burmese Manuscripts*, Part III. Verzeichnis der orientalischen Handschriften in Deutschland, Band XXIII, 3. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner.
- Buddhadatta, A.P. 1957. "The Second Great Commentator" in *Corrections to Geiger's Mahāvaṃsa etc.* Ambalangoda: Ananda Book Co., pp. 189–97.
- _______ 1960. *Theravādī Bauddhācāryayō*. Ambalamgoḍa: S. K. Candratilaka. _______ 1956. 1962. *Pālisāhityaya*, Vols. I and II. Ambalamgoḍa: Ānanda Potsamāgama.
- Cœdès, G. 1915. "Note sur les ouvrages pālis composés en pays thai", *BEFEO* XV, 3.
- Cousins, LS. 1972. "Dhammapāla and the Ṭīkā Literature" [review of Sv-pṭ, ed. by Lily de Silva], *Religion* 2, pt. 1, pp. 159–65.
- Dhammaratana Thera, H. 1968. *Buddhism in South India*, The Wheel Publication No. 124/125. Kandy: Buddhist Publication Society.
- Fausbøll, V. 1894-96. "Catalogue of the Mandalay MSS. in the India Office Library (Formerly Part of the King's Library at Mandalay)", *JPTS* IV, pp. 1-52.
- Geiger, W. 1956. *Pāli Literature and Language*. Translated by B. Ghosh. 2nd ed. Calcutta.
- Godakumbura, C. E. 1980. *Catalogue of Ceylonese Manuscripts*. Copenhagen: The Royal Library.
- Hazra, K.L. 1982. History of Theravāda Buddhism in South-East Asia. New Delhi: Munshiram Manoharlal.

²⁸⁵ I would like to thank Prof. R.F. Gombrich, who read an earlier version of Part I; Mr Michael Carden; L.S. Cousins, Esq.; Ms Tamara Ditrich; Prof. Oskar von Hinüber; Prof. K.R. Norman; Prof. Lily de Silva; and Dr. Royce Wiles, who read the final version of this aricle, for their helpful suggestions and corrections. Special thanks are also due to U Thaw Kaung, Vice-Chairman, Burmese National Committe for the Preservation of Traditional Manuscripts; Daw Ni Ni Myint, Director General, Universities Historical Research Centre, University of Rangoon; U Nyunt Maung, Manuscript Consultant, Universities Historical Research Centre; U Myint Kyaing, Director, National Library, Rangoon; and the staff of the Universities Historical Research Centre, University of Rangoon, for their generous support during my visit to Burma in December 1999.

- ——. 1986. The Buddhist Annals and Chronicles of South-East Asia. New Delhi: Munshiram Manoharlal.
- Jackson, P. 1990. "A Note on Dhammapāla(s)", JPTS, Vol. XV, pp. 207–11.
- Law, B.C., trans. 1941. A Manual of Buddhist Historical Traditions. Calcutta: University of Calcutta.
- Lieberman, V.B. 1976. "A New Look at the Sāsanavaṃsa", BSOAS 39.
- Lottermoser, F. 1982. Quoted Verse Passages in the Works of Buddhaghosa: Contributions towards the Study of the Lost Sīhaļaṭṭhakathā Literature. Ph.D. diss., Univ. of Göttingen.
- Luce, G.H., and Tin Htway. 1976. "A 15th Century Inscription and Library at Pagan, Burma" in *Malalasekera Commemoration Volume*. Colombo: The Malalasekera Commemoration Volume Editorial Committee.
- Nāṇamoli, Bhikkhu. 1994. A Pali-English Glossary of Buddhist Technical Terms. Kandy: Buddhist Publication Society.
- Panditha, V. 1973. "Buddhism During the Polonnaruva Period" in *The Polonnaruva Period*. Dehiwala: Tisara Prakasakayo.
- Pecenko, P. 1997. "Sāriputta and His Works", JPTS, Vol. XXIII, pp. 159-79.
- Pieris, A. 1978. "The Colophon to the Paramatthamañjūsā and the Discussion on the Date of Ācariya Dhammapāla" in *Buddhism in Ceylon and Studies on Religious Syncretism in Buddhist Countries*. H. Bechert, ed. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Ray, Niharranjan. 1946. An Introduction to the Study of Theravāda Buddhism in Burma. Calcutta: University of Calcutta.
- Raper, T.C.H., and M.J.C. O' Keefe, eds. 1983. Catalogue of the Pāli Printed Books in the India Office Library. London: The British Library.
- Rhys Davids, T.W. 1882. "List of Pāli, Sinhalese, and Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Colombo Museum", *JPTS* I, pp. 46–49.
- ——. 1883. "List of Pāli Manuscripts in the Copenhagen Royal Library", JPTS I, pp. 147–49.
- Silva, W.A. de. 1910–12. "A List of Pali Books Printed in Ceylon in Sinhalese Characters", *JPTS* VI, pp. 133–54.
- ——. 1938. Catalogue of Palm Leaf Manuscripts in the Library of the Colombo Museum. Colombo: Ceylon Government Press.
- Sirisena, W.M. 1978. Sri Lanka and South-East Asia. Leiden: E.J. Brill
- Than Tun, U. 1998. "An Original Inscription Dated 10 September 1223 that King Badon Copied on 27 October 1785", Études birmanes. Paris: EFEO.

- Thaw Kaung, U. 1998. "Bibliographies Compiled in Myanmar", Études birmanes. Paris: EFEO.
- Tseng, Sister H. Vinita. 2001. *The Nidānavagga of the Sāratthappakāsinī*. D.Phil. Thesis, Oxford University, Oriental Studies.
- Warder, A.K. 1980. Indian Buddhism. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidas.
- ——. 1981. "Some Problems of the Later Pali Literature", *JPTS*, Vol. IX, pp. 198–207.
- Wyatt, D.K. 1984. *Thailand*, a Short History. New Haven: Yale University Press.

ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviations and the quotation system of Pāli sources follow *CPD*, Epilegomena to Vol. 1, 1948, pp. 5*-36*, and Vol. 3, 1992, pp. ii-vi, and H. Bechert, *Abkürzungsverzeichnis zur buddhistischen Literatur in Indien und Südostasien* (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1990). The only exception are the PTS editions, which are cited — unless required for emphasis — without edition and date, e.g. Sv-pṭ = Sv-pṭ E^e 1970, I-III, edited by Lily de Silva. For the transliteration of Burmese see "Table of Transliteration" in Bechert, 1979, p. xxi, and Braun 1996, p. xiii.

A Aṅguttara-nikāya
B MS(S) Burmese manuscript(s)

b M3(3) butfliese manuscript(s)

BhB Bhāratīya Bauddhācāryayō. Colombo: K.M. Ratnasiri, 1949

Bollée W.B. Bollée. "Die Stellung der Vinayațīkās in der Pāli-

Literatur", ZDMG, Suppl. 1, 17 (1969), pp. 824–35.

C MS(S) Sinhalese manuscript(s)

CPD Critical Pāli Dictionary. V. Trenckner et al., eds. Royal Danish

Academy of Sciences and Letters, 1924-.

CS CD-ROM Chattha Sangāyana CD-ROM (Versions: 1.1, 2.0, 3.0) pub-

lished by Vipassana Research Institute

(Website: <www.vri.dhamma.org>).

D Dīgha-nikāya

Dhs Dhammasangaṇī

DPPN Dictionary of Pāli Proper Names

EncBuddh Encyclopædia of Buddhism. G.P. Malalasekera, ed.

Gv Gandhavaṃsa. I.P. Minayeff, ed. JPTS, 1886, pp. 54–79

HIL J. Gonda, ed. A History of Indian Literature. Wiesbaden, 1973-.

HPL Oskar von Hinüber. A Handbook of Pāli Literature. Berlin:

Walter de Gruyter, 1996.

K MS(S) Cambodian manuscript(s)

LPP K.D. Somadasa. Lankāvē puskoļa pot nāmāvaliya, Vols. I-III.

Colombo: Department of Cultural Affairs, 1959-64.

M Majjhima-nikāya

Mayrhofer, Manfred Mayrhofer, Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindo-

EWA arischen. Heidelberg: Carl Winter, 1986.

Mhv Trsl. W. Geiger, tr. Mahāvaṃsa, 1958.

Mp-pț Manorathapūraņī-purāṇaṭykā, Catutthā Līnatthapakāsinī.

Mp-t Manoratha-pūranī-purānatīkā, Catutthā Sāratthamañjūsā

Piṭ-sm (1989) Piṭakat samuinḥ. Rangoon: Tipiṭakanikāya Sāsanā Pru Aphvai,

1989.

PL K.R. Norman, Pāli Literature. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz,

1983.

PLB M.H. Bode, The Pali Literature of Burma. London, 1909.

PLC G.P. Malalasekera, The Pāli Literature of Ceylon. London, 1928.

Ps-pt Papañcasūdanī-purāṇaṭīkā, Dutiyā Līnatthapakāsinī

Ps-t Papañcasūdanī-tīkā, Dutiyā Sāratthamañjūsā

-r recto

S Saṃyutta-nikāya

Saddhamma-s Saddhammasangaha. Nedimāle Saddhānanda, ed. JPTS 1890,

pp. $21-90 = N^e$ 1961.

Sās Sāsanavamsa. C.S. Upasak, ed. Nālandā: Nava Nālandā

Mahāvihāra, 1961.

Sās-dīp Sāsanavamsadīpo. Vimalasārathera, ed. Colombo: Satthāloka

Press, 1880. (For full details of the title, see note 44.)

Spk-pṭ Sāratthapakāsinī-purāṇaṭīkā, Tatiyā Līnattha-pakāsinī

Spk-t Sārattha-pakāsinītīkā, Tatiyā Sāratthamañjūsā

Sp-t Samantapāsādikā-tīkā (= Sāratthadīpanī)

Sv-nt Sumangalavilāsinī-nada-tīkā (= Sādhu[jana]vilasinī)

Sv-pṭ Sumangalavilāsinī-purāṇaṭīkā, Paṭhamā Līnatthapakāsinī

Sv-t Sumangalavilāsinī-tīkā, Pathamā Sāratthamañjūsā

_v verso